

Filtering and  
Parameter  
estimation

Brouste, Cai,  
Kleptsyna

Statement of  
two problems

Parameter estimation  
Filtering problem

Results

Assumptions  
Results

The idea of  
the proof

Auxiliaries

Transformation of the  
observations

Laplace Transform  
computations

Conclusion

# Autoregressive systems under stationary noises

Alexandre Brouste<sup>1</sup> Cai Chunhao<sup>1</sup>  
Marina Kleptsyna<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Le Mans, France

March 12, Paris

# Outline

Filtering and  
Parameter  
estimation

Brouste, Cai,  
Kleptsyna

Statement of  
two problems

Parameter estimation  
Filtering problem

Results

Assumptions  
Results

The idea of  
the proof

Auxiliaries  
Transformation of the  
observations  
Laplace Transform  
computations

Conclusion

- 1 Statement of two problems
  - Parameter estimation
  - Filtering problem
- 2 Results
  - Assumptions
  - Results
- 3 The idea of the proof
  - Auxiliaries
  - Transformation of the observations
  - Laplace Transform computations
- 4 Conclusion

# Outline

Filtering and  
Parameter  
estimation

Brouste, Cai,  
Kleptsyna

Statement of  
two problems

Parameter estimation  
Filtering problem

Results

Assumptions  
Results

The idea of  
the proof

Auxiliaries  
Transformation of the  
observations  
Laplace Transform  
computations

Conclusion

- 1 Statement of two problems
  - Parameter estimation
  - Filtering problem
- 2 Results
  - Assumptions
  - Results
- 3 The idea of the proof
  - Auxiliaries
  - Transformation of the observations
  - Laplace Transform computations
- 4 Conclusion

# Model I

Filtering and  
Parameter  
estimation

Brouste, Cai,  
Kleptsyna

Statement of  
two problems

Parameter estimation  
Filtering problem

Results

Assumptions  
Results

The idea of  
the proof

Auxiliaries  
Transformation of the  
observations  
Laplace Transform  
computations

Conclusion

## Observations

$$X_t = \sum_{j=1}^p \theta_j X_{t-j} + \xi_t, \quad T \geq t \geq p, \quad X_j = x_j, \quad 0 \leq j < p.$$

with

- — unknown  $\theta \in R^p$
- — centered **stationary (not independent)** Gaussian sequence of noises  $\xi$ .

**The goal — to estimate  $\theta$**

# Model I

Filtering and  
Parameter  
estimation

Brouste, Cai,  
Kleptsyna

Statement of  
two problems

Parameter estimation  
Filtering problem

Results

Assumptions  
Results

The idea of  
the proof

Auxiliaries  
Transformation of the  
observations  
Laplace Transform  
computations

Conclusion

## Observations

$$X_t = \sum_{j=1}^p \theta_j X_{t-j} + \xi_t, \quad T \geq t \geq p, \quad X_j = x_j, \quad 0 \leq j < p.$$

with

- — unknown  $\theta \in R^p$
- — centered **stationary (not independent)** Gaussian sequence of noises  $\xi$ .

The goal — to estimate  $\theta$

# Model I

Filtering and  
Parameter  
estimation

Brouste, Cai,  
Kleptsyna

Statement of  
two problems

Parameter estimation  
Filtering problem

Results

Assumptions  
Results

The idea of  
the proof

Auxiliaries  
Transformation of the  
observations  
Laplace Transform  
computations

Conclusion

## Observations

$$X_t = \sum_{j=1}^p \theta_j X_{t-j} + \xi_t, \quad T \geq t \geq p, \quad X_j = x_j, \quad 0 \leq j < p.$$

with

- — unknown  $\theta \in R^p$
- — centered **stationary (not independent)** Gaussian sequence of noises  $\xi$ .

**The goal — to estimate  $\theta$**

# Maximum Likelihood Estimator

Filtering and  
Parameter  
estimation

Brouste, Cai,  
Kleptsyna

Statement of  
two problems

Parameter estimation  
Filtering problem

Results

Assumptions  
Results

The idea of  
the proof

Auxiliaries  
Transformation of the  
observations  
Laplace Transform  
computations

Conclusion

## Question for discussion

- The structure of the Likelihood function
- The long time asymptotic properties of the MLE
- Comparison with i.i.d. noises case

# Outline

Filtering and  
Parameter  
estimation

Brouste, Cai,  
Kleptsyna

Statement of  
two problems

Parameter estimation  
Filtering problem

Results

Assumptions  
Results

The idea of  
the proof

Auxiliaries  
Transformation of the  
observations  
Laplace Transform  
computations

Conclusion

- 1 Statement of two problems
  - Parameter estimation
  - **Filtering problem**
- 2 Results
  - Assumptions
  - Results
- 3 The idea of the proof
  - Auxiliaries
  - Transformation of the observations
  - Laplace Transform computations
- 4 Conclusion

# Model II

Filtering and  
Parameter  
estimation

Brouste, Cai,  
Kleptsyna

Statement of  
two problems

Parameter estimation

Filtering problem

Results

Assumptions

Results

The idea of  
the proof

Auxiliaries

Transformation of the  
observations

Laplace Transform  
computations

Conclusion

## Observation Model

$$\begin{cases} X_n = \sum_{j=1}^p \theta_j X_{n-j} + \xi_n, & n \geq p, & X_j = x_j, & 0 \leq j < p \\ Y_n = \mu X_n + \tilde{\xi}_n, & n \geq 1, & Y_0 = y. \end{cases}$$

- the goal — to write the minimal complexity algorithm to filter  $X$
- the question: the structure of the innovation process

# Model II

Filtering and  
Parameter  
estimation

Brouste, Cai,  
Kleptsyna

Statement of  
two problems

Parameter estimation

Filtering problem

Results

Assumptions

Results

The idea of  
the proof

Auxiliaries

Transformation of the  
observations

Laplace Transform  
computations

Conclusion

## Observation Model

$$\begin{cases} X_n = \sum_{j=1}^p \theta_j X_{n-j} + \xi_n, & n \geq p, & X_j = x_j, & 0 \leq j < p \\ Y_n = \mu X_n + \tilde{\xi}_n, & n \geq 1, & Y_0 = y. \end{cases}$$

- the goal — to write the minimal complexity algorithm to filter  $X$
- the question: the structure of the innovation process

# Outline

Filtering and  
Parameter  
estimation

Brouste, Cai,  
Kleptsyna

Statement of  
two problems

Parameter estimation  
Filtering problem

Results

Assumptions  
Results

The idea of  
the proof

Auxiliaries  
Transformation of the  
observations  
Laplace Transform  
computations

Conclusion

- 1 Statement of two problems
  - Parameter estimation
  - Filtering problem
- 2 Results
  - Assumptions
  - Results
- 3 The idea of the proof
  - Auxiliaries
  - Transformation of the observations
  - Laplace Transform computations
- 4 Conclusion

# Noises

Filtering and  
Parameter  
estimation

Brouste, Cai,  
Kleptsyna

Statement of  
two problems

Parameter estimation  
Filtering problem

Results

Assumptions  
Results

The idea of  
the proof

Auxiliaries

Transformation of the  
observations

Laplace Transform  
computations

Conclusion

## Noises

$$E\xi_m\xi_n = c(m, n) = \rho(|n - m|), \quad \rho(0) = 1,$$

$c(., .)$  is positive definite function.

# Assumptions about noises

Filtering and  
Parameter  
estimation

Brouste, Cai,  
Kleptsyna

Statement of  
two problems

Parameter estimation  
Filtering problem

Results

Assumptions  
Results

The idea of  
the proof

Auxiliaries  
Transformation of the  
observations  
Laplace Transform  
computations

Conclusion

assumptions, spectral density

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\ln(f(\lambda))| d\lambda < \infty.$$

sufficiently, covariance

$$|\rho(t)| \leq ct^{-\alpha}, \alpha > 0.$$

Examples: ARMA(p,q), fGn, Mixed fGn....

# Assumptions on the model

Filtering and  
Parameter  
estimation

Brouste, Cai,  
Kleptsyna

Statement of  
two problems

Parameter estimation  
Filtering problem

Results

Assumptions

Results

The idea of  
the proof

Auxiliaries

Transformation of the  
observations

Laplace Transform  
computations

Conclusion

Let  $\Theta$  be the companion matrix

$$\Theta = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 & \theta_2 & \cdots & \cdots & \theta_p \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We suppose that the spectral radius of  $\Theta$  :

$$r(\Theta) < 1.$$

# Outline

Filtering and  
Parameter  
estimation

Brouste, Cai,  
Kleptsyna

Statement of  
two problems

Parameter estimation  
Filtering problem

Results

Assumptions  
Results

The idea of  
the proof

Auxiliaries  
Transformation of the  
observations  
Laplace Transform  
computations

Conclusion

- 1 Statement of two problems
  - Parameter estimation
  - Filtering problem
- 2 Results
  - Assumptions
  - Results
- 3 The idea of the proof
  - Auxiliaries
  - Transformation of the observations
  - Laplace Transform computations
- 4 Conclusion

# Longtime Properties of MLE

Filtering and  
Parameter  
estimation

Brouste, Cai,  
Kleptsyna

Statement of  
two problems

Parameter estimation  
Filtering problem

Results

Assumptions  
Results

The idea of  
the proof

Auxiliaries

Transformation of the  
observations

Laplace Transform  
computations

Conclusion

## Longtime Properties of MLE

- MLE  $\hat{\theta}_T$  is uniformly on compacts  $\mathbb{K} \subset \mathbb{R}^p \cap r(\theta) < 1$  consistent.
- Uniformly asymptotically normal:

$$\sqrt{T} \left( \hat{\theta}_T - \theta \right) \xrightarrow{\text{law}} \mathcal{N} \left( 0, \mathcal{I}(\theta)^{-1} \right)$$

- Uniform on  $\vartheta \in \mathbb{K}$  convergence of the moments.

# The Fisher information

Filtering and  
Parameter  
estimation

Brouste, Cai,  
Kleptsyna

Statement of  
two problems

Parameter estimation  
Filtering problem

Results

Assumptions

Results

The idea of  
the proof

Auxiliaries

Transformation of the  
observations

Laplace Transform  
computations

Conclusion

$\mathcal{I}(\theta)$  —the Fisher information **does not depend** on the structure of  $\xi$ .

## The Fisher information

$\mathcal{I}(\theta)$  is the unique nonnegative defined solution of the Lyapounov equation

$$\mathcal{I}(\theta) = \Theta \mathcal{I}(\theta) \Theta' + b b',$$

where  $\Theta$  is the companion matrix and  $b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \mathbf{0}_p \end{pmatrix}$

# Filtering: representation theorem

The representation Theorem holds:

Let  $(\zeta_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be the  $2p$ -dimensional autoregressive process defined by

$$\zeta_n = A_{n-1}\zeta_{n-1} + \sigma_n b \varepsilon_n, \quad n \geq 1, \quad \zeta_0 = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ \mathbf{0}_p \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$A_n = \begin{pmatrix} \Theta & \Theta \beta_n \\ \beta_n Id_p & Id_p \end{pmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \mathbf{0}_p \end{pmatrix}, \quad x = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ \vdots \\ x_{p-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

Then the following representations hold:

$$\begin{aligned} X_n &= \sum_{m=1}^n K(n, m) b^* \zeta_m, \\ Z_n &= \mu b^* \zeta_n + \sigma_n \tilde{\varepsilon}_n, \quad n \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

# Outline

Filtering and  
Parameter  
estimation

Brouste, Cai,  
Kleptsyna

Statement of  
two problems

Parameter estimation  
Filtering problem

Results

Assumptions  
Results

The idea of  
the proof

Auxiliaries

Transformation of the  
observations

Laplace Transform  
computations

Conclusion

- 1 Statement of two problems
  - Parameter estimation
  - Filtering problem
- 2 Results
  - Assumptions
  - Results
- 3 The idea of the proof
  - **Auxiliaries**
  - Transformation of the observations
  - Laplace Transform computations
- 4 Conclusion

# Stationary Gaussian sequences

Let  $\xi = (\xi_n)_{n \geq 0}$  be a centered stationary Gaussian sequence.

- innovations—

$$\sigma_1 \varepsilon_1 = \xi_1, \quad \sigma_n \varepsilon_n = \xi_n - \mathbf{E}(\xi_n | \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}), \quad n \geq 2.$$

- a deterministic kernel  $k = (k(n, m), n \geq 1, m \leq n)$  such that  $k(n, n) = 1$  and

$$\sigma_n \varepsilon_n = \sum_{m=1}^n k(n, m) \xi_m.$$

- the partial correlation coefficient

$$\beta_{n-1} = -k(n, 1), \quad n \geq 1.$$

Filtering and  
Parameter  
estimation

Brouste, Cai,  
Kleptsyna

Statement of  
two problems

Parameter estimation  
Filtering problem

Results

Assumptions  
Results

The idea of  
the proof

Auxiliaries

Transformation of the  
observations

Laplace Transform  
computations

Conclusion

# Levinson-Durbin algorithm

Filtering and  
Parameter  
estimation

Brouste, Cai,  
Kleptsyna

Statement of  
two problems

Parameter estimation  
Filtering problem

Results

Assumptions  
Results

The idea of  
the proof

Auxillaries

Transformation of the  
observations  
Laplace Transform  
computations

Conclusion

Relations between  $k(.,.)$ ,  $\rho(.)$ ,  $(\beta_n)$  and  $\sigma_n^2$ ,  $n \geq 1$ :

$$\sigma_n^2 = \prod_{m=1}^{n-1} (1 - \beta_m^2),$$

$$\sum_{m=1}^n k(n, m)\rho(m) = \beta_n \sigma_n^2,$$

$$k(n+1, n+1-m) = k(n, n-m) - \beta_n k(n, m).$$

# Inverse Kernel

Filtering and  
Parameter  
estimation

Brouste, Cai,  
Kleptsyna

Statement of  
two problems

Parameter estimation  
Filtering problem

Results

Assumptions  
Results

The idea of  
the proof

Auxillaries

Transformation of the  
observations  
Laplace Transform  
computations

Conclusion

The positive definiteness of the covariance  $c(., .) \Rightarrow$  exists an inverse deterministic kernel  $K = (K(n, m), n \geq 1, m \leq n)$  such that

$$\xi_n = \sum_{m=1}^n K(n, m) \sigma_m \varepsilon_m.$$

Actually, kernels  $k$  and  $K$  are nothing but the ingredients of the Choleski decomposition of covariance and inverse of covariance matrices.

$$\Gamma_n^{-1} = k_n D_n^{-1} k_n'; \Gamma_n = K_n' D_n K_n$$

# Outline

Filtering and  
Parameter  
estimation

Brouste, Cai,  
Kleptsyna

Statement of  
two problems

Parameter estimation  
Filtering problem

Results

Assumptions  
Results

The idea of  
the proof

Auxiliaries

Transformation of the  
observations

Laplace Transform  
computations

Conclusion

- 1 Statement of two problems
  - Parameter estimation
  - Filtering problem
- 2 Results
  - Assumptions
  - Results
- 3 The idea of the proof
  - Auxiliaries
  - Transformation of the observations
  - Laplace Transform computations
- 4 Conclusion

# Transformation of the observations

Filtering and  
Parameter  
estimation

Brouste, Cai,  
Kleptsyna

Statement of  
two problems

Parameter estimation  
Filtering problem

Results

Assumptions  
Results

The idea of  
the proof

Auxiliaries

Transformation of the  
observations

Laplace Transform  
computations

Conclusion

Let us introduce  $(Z_n)_{n \geq 1}$  such that

$$Z_n = \sum_{m=1}^n k(n, m) X_m, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Then we have also

$$X_n = \sum_{m=1}^n K(n, m) Z_m.$$

# Equivalent Markov nonergodic observation model

Filtering and  
Parameter  
estimation

Brouste, Cai,  
Kleptsyna

Statement of  
two problems

Parameter estimation  
Filtering problem

Results

Assumptions

Results

The idea of  
the proof

Auxiliaries

Transformation of the  
observations

Laplace Transform  
computations

Conclusion

The initial observation model is equivalent to the model, based on the  $2p$ -dimensional autoregressive process :

$$\zeta_n = \mathbf{A}_{n-1} \zeta_{n-1} + \sigma_n \mathbf{b} \varepsilon_n, \quad n \geq 1, \quad \zeta_0 = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ \mathbf{0}_p \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $(\Theta)$  is the companion matrix,  $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \geq 1}$  — i.i.d.)

$$\mathbf{A}_n = \begin{pmatrix} \Theta & \Theta \beta_n \\ \beta_n \mathbf{Id}_p & \mathbf{Id}_p \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \mathbf{0}_p \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ \vdots \\ x_{p-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

# Likelihood function

Filtering and  
Parameter  
estimation

Brouste, Cai,  
Kleptsyna

Statement of  
two problems

Parameter estimation  
Filtering problem

Results

Assumptions  
Results

The idea of  
the proof

Auxiliaries

Transformation of the  
observations

Laplace Transform  
computations

Conclusion

## Likelihood function

### The log-likelihood

$$\ln \mathcal{L}(Z^{(N)}, \vartheta) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=2}^N \left( \frac{\nu_n^\theta}{\sigma_n} \right)^2 - \frac{N}{2} \ln 2\pi - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=2}^N \ln \sigma_n^2$$

where  $\nu_n^\theta = b'(\zeta_n - A_{n-1}\zeta_{n-1})$ .

## MLE, $\rho = 1$

$$\hat{\vartheta}_N = \frac{\sum_{n=2}^N \frac{\mathbf{a}_{n-1}^* \zeta_{n-1} \mathbf{Z}_n}{\sigma_n^2}}{\sum_{n=2}^N \zeta_{n-1}^* \frac{\mathbf{a}_{n-1} \mathbf{a}_{n-1}^*}{\sigma_n^2} \zeta_{n-1}}$$

and  $\mathbf{a}_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \beta_n \end{pmatrix}$ .

# Outline

Filtering and  
Parameter  
estimation

Brouste, Cai,  
Kleptsyna

Statement of  
two problems

Parameter estimation  
Filtering problem

Results

Assumptions  
Results

The idea of  
the proof

Auxiliaries  
Transformation of the  
observations

Laplace Transform  
computations

Conclusion

- 1 Statement of two problems
  - Parameter estimation
  - Filtering problem
- 2 Results
  - Assumptions
  - Results
- 3 The idea of the proof
  - Auxiliaries
  - Transformation of the observations
  - Laplace Transform computations
- 4 Conclusion

# Laplace Transform computations

Filtering and  
Parameter  
estimation

Brouste, Cai,  
Kleptsyna

Statement of  
two problems

Parameter estimation  
Filtering problem

Results

Assumptions  
Results

The idea of  
the proof

Auxiliaries  
Transformation of the  
observations

Laplace Transform  
computations

Conclusion

It is sufficient to prove that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E}_\theta \exp \left( -\frac{\mu}{2N} \sum_{n=2}^N \zeta_{n-1}^* \mathcal{M}_{n-1} \zeta_{n-1} \right) = \exp \left( -\frac{\mu}{2} \mathcal{I}(\vartheta) \right)$$

$$\text{with } \mathcal{M}_{n-1} = \frac{a_{n-1} a_{n-1}^*}{\sigma_n^2} \text{ and } \mathcal{I}(\theta) = (1 - \vartheta^2)^{-1}$$

# Open questions

Filtering and  
Parameter  
estimation

Brouste, Cai,  
Kleptsyna

Statement of  
two problems

Parameter estimation  
Filtering problem

Results

Assumptions  
Results

The idea of  
the proof

Auxiliaries  
Transformation of the  
observations  
Laplace Transform  
computations

Conclusion

- Strong consistency for  $p > 1$  in instable and explosive domain
- Asymptotic distribution (even for  $p = 1$ ) in instable and explosive domain (dependence on the covariance of  $\xi$ )
- Estimation problem under partial observations