

On Adaptive Kalman Filtration.

Yury A. Kutoyants

Le Mans University, France

ICIAM 2023 TOKYO

25 August, 2023

1 Hidden Markov Processes

1.1 Model of observations.

We are given a couple of equations

$$\begin{aligned}dX_t &= f(\vartheta, t) Y_t dt + \sigma(t) dW_t, & X_0, & \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \\dY_t &= a(\vartheta, t) Y_t dt + b(\vartheta, t) dV_t, & Y_0, & \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,\end{aligned}$$

where $W_t, 0 \leq t \leq T$ and $V_t, 0 \leq t \leq T$ are independent Wiener processes and the observations are $X^T = (X_t, 0 \leq t \leq T)$. The O-U process $Y^T = (Y_t, 0 \leq t \leq T)$ is hidden. The functions $f(\vartheta, t), \sigma(t), a(\vartheta, t), b(\vartheta, t), t \in [0, T]$ are supposed to be known and the parameter $\vartheta \in \Theta \subset \mathcal{R}^d$ is unknown.

The conditional expectation $m(\vartheta, t) = \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta}(Y_t | X_s, 0 \leq s \leq t)$ and the error $\gamma(\vartheta, t) = \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta}(Y_t - m(\vartheta, t))^2$ are solutions of Kalman-Bucy (K-B) filtration equations

$$dm(\vartheta, t) = - \left[a(\vartheta, t) + \frac{\gamma(\vartheta, t) f^2}{\sigma(t)^2} \right] m(\vartheta, t) dt + \frac{\gamma(\vartheta, t) f(\vartheta, t)}{\sigma(t)^2} dX_t,$$

$$\frac{\partial \gamma(\vartheta, t)}{\partial t} = -2a(\vartheta, t) \gamma(\vartheta, t) - \frac{\gamma(\vartheta, t)^2 f(\vartheta, t)^2}{\sigma(t)^2} + b(\vartheta, t)^2,$$

with initial values $m_0 = \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta}(Y_0 | X_0)$ and $\gamma_0 = \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta}(Y_0 - m(\vartheta, 0))^2$. Recall that $m(\vartheta, t)$ is an optimal estimator of Y_t .

Pb.: *To obtain a good recurrent approximation $m_t^*, 0 < t \leq T$ of the process $m(\vartheta, t), 0 < t \leq T$.*

We need a good estimator of ϑ , which depends on $X_s, 0 \leq s \leq t$ to use it for construction of $m_t^*, 0 < t \leq T$.

How to chose an estimator? The likelihood ratio function is:

$$L(\vartheta, X^T) = \exp \left\{ \int_0^T \frac{f(\vartheta, t) m(\vartheta, t)}{\sigma(t)^2} dX_t - \int_0^T \frac{f(\vartheta, t)^2 m(\vartheta, t)^2}{2\sigma(t)^2} dt \right\}.$$

The MLE $\hat{\vartheta}_T$ and BE $\tilde{\vartheta}_T$ are defined by the relations

$$L(\hat{\vartheta}_T, X^T) = \sup_{\vartheta \in \Theta} L(\vartheta, X^T), \quad \tilde{\vartheta}_T = \frac{\int_{\Theta} \vartheta p(\vartheta) L(\vartheta, X^T) d\vartheta}{\int_{\Theta} p(\vartheta) L(\vartheta, X^T) d\vartheta}.$$

To construct the MLE and BE of the parameter ϑ we have to calculate the functions $\{m(\vartheta, t), 0 \leq t \leq T\}$, $\vartheta \in \Theta$ and $\{\gamma(\vartheta, t), 0 \leq t \leq T\}$, $\vartheta \in \Theta$ defined by the K-B equations.

But we can not put the MLE $\hat{\vartheta}_T$ or BE $\tilde{\vartheta}_T$ in $m(\vartheta, t)$ because the stochastic integral containing $\gamma(\hat{\vartheta}_T, t)$ does not defined.

Remark that the direct numerical calculations of the MLE and BE is almost impossible.

To approximate $m(\vartheta, t)$ we propose the following program:

1. Find a preliminary estimator $\bar{\vartheta}_\tau$ on relatively small interval of observations $[0, \tau]$.
2. Using $\bar{\vartheta}_\tau$ realize One-step MLE-process $\vartheta_t^*, \tau < t \leq T$
3. As approximation of $m(\vartheta, t)$ we propose m_t^* obtained with the help of K-B equations, where ϑ is replaced by $\vartheta_t^*, \tau < t \leq T$
4. Estimate the error $m_t^* - m(\vartheta, t)$.

We apply this construction to 5 different models of observations.

1.2 HMP with small noises in both equations.

Consider the linear two-dimensional partially observed system

$$\begin{aligned}dX_t &= f(\vartheta, t) Y_t dt + \varepsilon \sigma(t) dW_t, & X_0 &= 0, & 0 \leq t \leq T, \\dY_t &= a(\vartheta, t) Y_t dt + \varepsilon b(t) dV_t, & Y_0 &= y_0 \neq 0, & 0 \leq t \leq T,\end{aligned}$$

Asymptotic $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. The preliminary estimator is $(x_t(\vartheta) = X_t|_{\varepsilon=0})$

$$\check{\vartheta}_{\tau_\varepsilon} = \arg \inf_{\vartheta \in \Theta} \int_0^{\tau_\varepsilon} |X_t - x_t(\vartheta)|^2 dt, \quad \tau_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$$

YuK, (1994) Identification of Dynamical Systems with Small Noise. Kluwer Academic Publisher, Dordrecht.

YuK, Zhou, L. (2021) "On parameter estimation of the hidden Gaussian process in perturbed SDE", Electr. J. of Stat., 15, 211-234

Introduce the notation: $S_*(\vartheta, \vartheta_0, t) = f(\vartheta, t) y_t(\vartheta, \vartheta_0)$,

$$\dot{S}_*(\vartheta, \vartheta_0, t) = \frac{\partial S_*(\vartheta, \vartheta_0, t)}{\partial \vartheta} = \dot{f}(\vartheta, t) y_t(\vartheta, \vartheta_0) + f(\vartheta, t) \dot{y}_t(\vartheta, \vartheta_0),$$

$$\mathbf{I}(\vartheta_0) = \int_0^T \frac{\dot{S}_*(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0, t) \dot{S}_*(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0, t)^\top}{\sigma(t)^2} dt.$$

The $d \times d$ matrix $\mathbf{I}(\vartheta_0)$, $\vartheta_0 \in \Theta$ is the Fisher information. The MLE and BE are uniformly on compacts $\mathbb{K} \subset \Theta$ consistent, asymptotically normal

$$\frac{\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon - \vartheta_0}{\varepsilon} \implies \zeta \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \mathbf{I}(\vartheta_0)^{-1}\right), \quad \frac{\tilde{\vartheta}_\varepsilon - \vartheta_0}{\varepsilon} \implies \zeta.$$

One-step MLE-process $\vartheta_{t,\varepsilon}^*, \tau_\varepsilon < t \leq T$ are defined by the relations

$$\vartheta_\varepsilon^* = \check{\vartheta}_{\tau_\varepsilon} + \mathbf{I}_{\tau_\varepsilon}^T (\check{\vartheta}_{\tau_\varepsilon})^{-1} \int_{\tau_\varepsilon}^T \frac{\dot{M}(\check{\vartheta}_{\tau_\varepsilon}, s)}{\sigma(s)^2} [dX_s - M(\check{\vartheta}_{\tau_\varepsilon}, s) ds],$$

$$\vartheta_{t,\varepsilon}^* = \check{\vartheta}_{\tau_\varepsilon} + \mathbf{I}_{\tau_\varepsilon}^t (\check{\vartheta}_{\tau_\varepsilon})^{-1} \int_{\tau_\varepsilon}^t \frac{\dot{M}(\check{\vartheta}_{\tau_\varepsilon}, s)}{\sigma(s)^2} [dX_s - M(\check{\vartheta}_{\tau_\varepsilon}, s) ds].$$

Here $M(\vartheta, s) = f(\vartheta, s) m(\vartheta, s)$ and

$$\mathbf{I}_{\tau_\varepsilon}^t(\vartheta_0) = \int_{\tau_\varepsilon}^t \frac{\dot{S}_*(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0, s) \dot{S}_*(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0, s)^\top}{\sigma(s)^2} ds$$

This estimator-process is as. normal

$$\frac{\vartheta_{t,\varepsilon}^* - \vartheta_0}{\varepsilon} \implies \zeta \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \mathbf{I}_0^t(\vartheta_0)^{-1}\right),$$

This estimator is used for adaptive filtration.

1.3 HMP with low noise observations

Consider the linear two-dimensional partially observed system

$$dX_t = f(\vartheta, t) Y_t dt + \varepsilon \sigma(t) dW_t, \quad X_0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,$$

$$dY_t = a(\vartheta, t) Y_t dt + b(\vartheta, t) dV_t, \quad Y_0,$$

Asymptotic $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Below $\tau_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{1/6}$, $t_{i+1} - t_i = \varepsilon^{2/3}$, $N_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{-2/3}$

$$\Psi_{\tau_\varepsilon, \varepsilon} = \sum_{i=0}^{N_\varepsilon-1} \left(\frac{X_{t_{i+1}+\varepsilon} - X_{t_{i+1}}}{\varepsilon} - \frac{X_{t_i+\varepsilon} - X_{t_i}}{\varepsilon} \right)^2,$$

$$\int_0^{\tau_\varepsilon} f(\check{\vartheta}_{\tau_\varepsilon}, t)^2 b(\check{\vartheta}_{\tau_\varepsilon}, t)^2 dt = \Psi_{\tau_\varepsilon, \varepsilon}$$

YuK (2019) "On parameter estimation of hidden Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process", J. Multivariate Analysis. 169, 1, 248-263.

YuK (2022) "Volatility estimation of hidden Markov process and adaptive filtration", arXiv:2010.07603, submitted

Fisher information matrix is

$$\mathbf{I}(\vartheta) = \int_0^T \frac{S(\vartheta, t)}{2\sigma(t)} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta} \ln S(\vartheta, t) \right] \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta} \ln S(\vartheta, t) \right]^\top dt.$$

Here $S(\vartheta, t) = f(\vartheta, t) b(\vartheta, t)$.

The MLE $\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon$ and BE $\check{\vartheta}_\varepsilon$ are consistent, and asymptotically normal, i.e.,

$$\frac{(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon - \vartheta_0)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \implies \zeta \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \mathbf{I}(\vartheta_0)^{-1}\right), \quad \frac{(\check{\vartheta}_\varepsilon - \vartheta_0)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \implies \zeta.$$

One-step MLE-process $\vartheta_{t,\varepsilon}^*$, $\tau < t < T$

$$\vartheta_{t,\varepsilon}^* = \check{\vartheta}_{\tau_\varepsilon} + \mathbf{I}_{\tau_\varepsilon}^t(\check{\vartheta}_{\tau_\varepsilon})^{-1} \int_{\tau_\varepsilon}^t \frac{\dot{M}(\check{\vartheta}_{\tau_\varepsilon}, s)}{\varepsilon \sigma(s)^2} [dX_s - M(\check{\vartheta}_{\tau_\varepsilon}, s) ds]$$

This estimator is used for adaptive filtration.

1.4 Extended Kalman Filter

The system is

$$\begin{aligned}dX_t &= f(\vartheta, t, Y_t) dt + \varepsilon \sigma(t) dW_t, & X_0 &= 0, & 0 \leq t \leq T, \\dY_t &= a(\vartheta, t, Y_t) dt + b(\vartheta, t) dV_t, & Y_0 &= y_0,\end{aligned}$$

The functions $f(\cdot)$, $\sigma(\cdot)$, $a(\cdot)$, $b(\cdot)$ are known and positive,

$$f'_y(\vartheta, t, y) \geq \kappa > 0$$

The equations of *Extended Kalman Filter* (EKF) are

$$dm_t(\vartheta) = \left[a(\vartheta, t, m_t(\vartheta)) - \frac{f'_y(\vartheta, t, m_t(\vartheta)) f(\vartheta, t, m_t(\vartheta)) \gamma(\vartheta, t)}{\varepsilon^2 \sigma(t)^2} \right] dt$$

$$+ \frac{f'_y(\vartheta, t, m_t(\vartheta)) \gamma_*(\vartheta, t)}{\varepsilon^2 \sigma(t)^2} dX_t, \quad m_0(\vartheta) = y_0,$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \gamma(\vartheta, t)}{\partial t} = 2a'_y(\vartheta, t, m_t(\vartheta)) \gamma(\vartheta, t) - \frac{f'_y(\vartheta, t, m_t(\vartheta))^2 \gamma(\vartheta, t)^2}{\varepsilon^2 \sigma(t)^2}$$

$$+ b(\vartheta, t, m_t(\vartheta))^2, \quad \gamma(\vartheta, 0) = 0.$$

If the observed system is linear then these equations coincide with the usual Kalman filter.

We have the same problem of adaptive filtration and almost the same MME $\check{\vartheta}_{\tau,\varepsilon}$ based on the statistic

$$\Psi_{\tau,\varepsilon} = \sum_{i=0}^{N_\varepsilon-1} \left(\frac{X_{t_{i+1}+\varepsilon} - X_{t_{i+1}}}{\varepsilon} - \frac{X_{t_i+\varepsilon} - X_{t_i}}{\varepsilon} \right)^2 \longrightarrow \Psi_\tau(\vartheta_0),$$

$$\Psi_\tau(\vartheta) = \int_0^\tau f(\vartheta, t, Y_t)^2 b(\vartheta, t, Y_t)^2 dt$$

$$\int_0^\tau f(\check{\vartheta}_{\tau,\varepsilon}, t, m_t(\check{\vartheta}_{\tau,\varepsilon}))^2 b(\check{\vartheta}_{\tau,\varepsilon}, t, m_t(\check{\vartheta}_{\tau,\varepsilon}))^2 dt = \Psi_{\tau,\varepsilon}$$

where $t_{i+1} - t_i = \varepsilon^{2/3}$, $N_\varepsilon = \tau\varepsilon^{-2/3}$. Under regularity conditions

$$\varepsilon^{-1/3}(\check{\vartheta}_{\tau,\varepsilon} - \vartheta_0)$$

is tight.

We have the following amusing relations for $t_0 \leq t \leq T$, :

$$\frac{\gamma(\vartheta, t)}{\varepsilon} \longrightarrow \gamma_*(\vartheta, t) = \frac{b(\vartheta, t) \sigma(t)}{f'(\vartheta, t, m_t^\circ(\vartheta))},$$

$$f(\vartheta, t, m_t^\circ(\vartheta)) = f(\vartheta_0, t, Y_t),$$

$$m_t^\circ(\vartheta_0) = Y_t,$$

$$\dot{m}_t^\circ(\vartheta) = -\frac{\dot{f}_\vartheta(\vartheta, t, m_t^\circ(\vartheta))}{f'_y(\vartheta, t, m_t^\circ(\vartheta))}, \quad \dot{m}_t^\circ(\vartheta_0) = -\frac{\dot{f}_\vartheta(\vartheta_0, t, Y_t)}{f'_y(\vartheta_0, t, Y_t)}.$$

This means that the “wrong” filter $m_t(\vartheta_0)$ converges to the true process $m_t(\vartheta_0) \rightarrow m_t^\circ(\vartheta_0) = Y_t$.

Denote

$$\Gamma_{t,\varepsilon}(\vartheta) = \sqrt{\frac{b(\vartheta, t) \sigma(t)}{f'_y(\vartheta, t, m_t(\vartheta))}}, \quad \Gamma_t^\circ(\vartheta) = \sqrt{\frac{b(\vartheta, t) \sigma(t)}{f'_y(\vartheta, t, m_t^\circ(\vartheta))}},$$

$$\Gamma_t^\circ(\vartheta_0) = \sqrt{\frac{b(\vartheta_0, t) \sigma(t)}{f'_y(\vartheta_0, t, Y_t)}}, \quad \Delta_t^\circ(\vartheta_0) = \Gamma_t^\circ(\vartheta_0) [\xi_{t,\varepsilon} - \eta_{t,\varepsilon}]$$

$$\Delta_{t,\varepsilon}(\vartheta) = \Gamma_{t,\varepsilon}(\vartheta) \left[\xi_{t,\varepsilon} - \frac{b(\vartheta_0, t) f'_y(\vartheta_0, t, Y_t)}{b(\vartheta, t) f'_y(\vartheta, t, m_t^\circ(\vartheta))} \eta_{t,\varepsilon} \right].$$

We have

$$m_t(\vartheta) = m_t^\circ(\vartheta) + \Delta_{t,\varepsilon}(\vartheta) \sqrt{\varepsilon} + O(\varepsilon)$$

and

$$\dot{m}_t(\vartheta) = -\frac{\dot{f}_\vartheta(\vartheta, t, m_t(\vartheta))}{f'_y(\vartheta, t, m_t(\vartheta))} - \frac{\dot{b}(\vartheta, t)}{b(\vartheta, t)} \Delta_{t,\varepsilon}(\vartheta) \sqrt{\varepsilon} + [\dots] \xi_{t,\varepsilon} \sqrt{\varepsilon} + O(\varepsilon)$$

This allows us to construct a p-One-step process and adaptive EKF.

1.5 Hidden Telegraph process.

The observations are

$$dX_t = Y_t dt + dW_t, \quad X_0 = 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T$$

where $Y_t, 0 \leq t \leq T$ is *telegraph process* and $W_t, 0 \leq t \leq T$ is an independent of $Y_t, 0 \leq t \leq T$ Wiener process. Recall that $Y_t, t \geq 0$ is a memory-less continuous-time stochastic Markov process that shows two distinct values $y_1 = a$ and $y_2 = b$. Parameter $\vartheta = (\lambda, \mu)$. This process can be described by the transition rate matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & \lambda \\ \mu & -\mu \end{pmatrix}.$$

The stochastic process $Y_t, t \geq 0$ admits the representation

$$dX_t = m(\vartheta, t) dt + d\bar{W}_t, \quad X_0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,$$

where $m(\vartheta, t) = \mathbf{E}_\vartheta(Y_t | \mathfrak{F}_t^X)$ is the conditional expectation. Let us denote $\pi(\vartheta, t) = \mathbf{P}_\vartheta(Y_t = a | \mathfrak{F}_t^X)$, $\mathbf{P}_\vartheta(Y_t = b | \mathfrak{F}_t^X) = 1 - \pi(\vartheta, t)$.

Then $m(\vartheta, t) = b + (a - b)\pi(\vartheta, t)$.

The random process $\pi(\vartheta, \cdot)$ satisfies the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} d\pi(\vartheta, t) = & [\mu - (\lambda + \mu)\pi(\vartheta, t) \\ & + \pi(\vartheta, t)(1 - \pi(\vartheta, t))(b - a)(b + (a - b)\pi(\vartheta, t))] dt \\ & + \pi(\vartheta, t)(1 - \pi(\vartheta, t))(a - b) dX_t. \end{aligned}$$

Chigansky, P. (2009). "Maximum likelihood estimator for hidden Markov models in continuous time". SISP. 12, 139-163.

Khasminskii, R. Z. and YuK (2018) "On parameter estimation of hidden telegraph process". Bernoulli, 24, 3, 2064-2090.

Having a preliminary MME $\check{\vartheta}_{\tau_\delta}$ we propose One-step MLE-process as follows ($\tau_\delta = T^\delta, \delta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$)

$$\vartheta_{t,T}^* = \check{\vartheta}_{\tau_\delta} + t^{-1} \mathbb{I}_t(\check{\vartheta}_{\tau_\delta})^{-1} \int_{\tau_\delta}^t \dot{m}(\check{\vartheta}_{\tau_\delta}, s) [dX_s - m(\check{\vartheta}_{\tau_\delta}, s) ds].$$

Here the vector

$$\dot{m}(\vartheta, s) = (a - b) \frac{\partial \pi_\lambda(s, \vartheta)}{\partial \vartheta} = (a - b) \left(\frac{\partial \pi(t, \vartheta)}{\partial \lambda}, \frac{\partial \pi(t, \vartheta)}{\partial \mu} \right)^\top$$

and the empirical Fisher information matrix $\mathbb{I}_t(\vartheta)$ is

$$\mathbb{I}_t(\vartheta) = \frac{1}{t} \int_{\tau_\delta}^t \dot{m}(\vartheta, s) \dot{m}(\vartheta, s)^\top ds \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}(\vartheta)$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$ by the law of large numbers. Here $\mathbb{I}(\vartheta)$ is the Fisher information matrix

$$\mathbb{I}(\vartheta) = (a - b)^2 \mathbf{E}_\vartheta \frac{\partial \pi(s, \vartheta)}{\partial \vartheta} \frac{\partial \pi(s, \vartheta)}{\partial \vartheta}^\top.$$

1.6 Hidden O-U process, Ergodic case

We are given a linear system with constant coefficients

$$\begin{aligned}dX_t &= f(\vartheta) Y_t dt + \sigma dW_t, & X_0, & \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \\dY_t &= -a(\vartheta) Y_t dt + b(\vartheta) dV_t, & Y_0.\end{aligned}$$

Here $f(\vartheta) \neq 0$, $b(\vartheta) \neq 0$, $\sigma^2 > 0$ and $a(\vartheta) > 0$. Asymptotic $T \rightarrow \infty$. Examples: **(F)** : $f(\vartheta) = \vartheta$, $a(\vartheta) = a$, $b(\vartheta) = b$,
(A) : $f(\vartheta) = f$, $a(\vartheta) = \vartheta$, $b(\vartheta) = b$, **(F, B)** forbidden,
(F, A) : $f(\vartheta) = \theta_1$, $a(\vartheta) = \theta_2$, $b(\vartheta) = b$, *i.e.* $\vartheta = (\theta_1, \theta_2)$.

YuK (2019) “On parameter estimation of hidden ergodic Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process” Electr. J. of Stat., 13, 4508-4526.

YuK (2022) “Hidden ergodic Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process and adaptive filter” submitted.

Consider the model $f(\vartheta) = f, a(\vartheta) = a, b(\vartheta) = b$, and denote

$$R_{1,T} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=1}^T [X_k - X_{k-1}]^2, \quad \Phi_1(\vartheta) = \frac{f^2 b^2}{a^3} [e^{-a} - 1 + a] + \sigma^2,$$

$$R_{2,T} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=2}^T [X_k - X_{k-1}] [X_{k-1} - X_{k-2}], \quad R_T = (R_{1,T}, R_{2,T})^\top,$$

$$\Phi_2(\vartheta) = \frac{f^2 b^2}{2a^3} [1 - e^{-a}]^2, \quad \Phi(\vartheta) = (\Phi_1(\vartheta), \Phi_2(\vartheta))^\top,$$

$$K_{1,1}(\vartheta) = \frac{2f^4 b^4}{a^6} [e^{-a} - 1 + a]^2 + \frac{f^4 b^4 e^{4a} [1 - e^{-a}]^3}{a^6 [1 + e^{-a}]} \\ + \frac{4f^2 \sigma^2 b^2}{a^3} [e^{-a} - 1 + a] + 2\sigma^4,$$

$$\mathbf{K}(\vartheta) = \begin{pmatrix} K_{1,1}(\vartheta) & K_{1,2}(\vartheta) \\ K_{2,1}(\vartheta) & K_{2,2}(\vartheta) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \xi_* = (\xi_1, \xi_2)^\top, .$$

Here $\xi_* \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{K}(\vartheta_0))$.

Proposition 1. *The statistic R_T has the following properties:*

1. *Uniformly on Θ it converges to $\Phi(\vartheta)$, i.e., for any $\nu > 0$*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\vartheta \in \Theta} \mathbf{P}_{\vartheta} \left(\|R_T - \Phi(\vartheta)\| \geq \nu \right) = 0.$$

2. *Is uniformly on compacts $\mathbb{K} \subset \Theta$ asymptotically normal*

$$\sqrt{T} (R_T - \Phi(\vartheta)) \implies \xi_*,$$

3. *The moments converge: for any $p > 0$ uniformly on \mathbb{K}*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} T^{p/2} \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta} \|R_T - \Phi(\vartheta)\|^p = \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta} |\xi_*|^p,$$

and there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{\vartheta \in \mathbb{K}} T^{p/2} \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta} \|R_T - \Phi(\vartheta)\|^p \leq C.$$

1.6.1 Two-dimensional parameter (f, a)

$$\Phi_1(\vartheta) = \frac{f^2 b^2}{a^3} [e^{-a} - 1 + a] + \sigma^2, \quad \Phi_2(\vartheta) = \frac{f^2 b^2}{2a^3} [1 - e^{-a}]^2.$$

Therefore this system can be solved in two steps as follows: the equation

$$\frac{e^{-a_T^*} - 1 + a_T^*}{(1 - e^{-a_T^*})^2} = \frac{R_{1,T} - \sigma^2}{2R_{2,T}},$$

$$f_T^* = \frac{2 (a_T^*)^{3/2} R_{2,T}^{1/2}}{b^2 (1 - e^{-a_T^*})^2}.$$

Having (f_T^*, a_T^*) we write the One-step process and adaptive filter.

One-step MLE-process $\vartheta_{t,T}^*, \tau_T < t \leq T$ is

$$\vartheta_{t,T}^* = \check{\vartheta}_{\tau_T} + \frac{\mathbf{I}(\check{\vartheta}_{\tau_T})^{-1}}{(t - \tau_T)} \int_{\tau_T}^t \frac{\dot{M}(\check{\vartheta}_{\tau_T}, s)}{\sigma^2} [dX_s - M(\check{\vartheta}_{\tau_T}, s)ds].$$

Change the variables: $t = vT, v \in [\varepsilon_T, 1], \varepsilon_T = \tau_T T^{-1} = T^{-1+\delta} \rightarrow 0$ and denote $\vartheta_T^*(v) = \vartheta_{vT,T}^*, \varepsilon_T < v \leq 1$.

Then One-step MLE-process $\vartheta_T^*(v), \varepsilon_T < v \leq 1$ with $\delta \in (1/2, 1)$ is uniformly on compacts $\mathbb{K} \subset \Theta$ consistent and asymptotically normal

$$\sqrt{T} \left(\vartheta_T^*(v) - \vartheta_0 \right) \Longrightarrow \zeta_v \sim \mathcal{N} \left(0, v^{-1} \mathbf{I}(\vartheta_0)^{-1} \right),$$

This estimator is used for adaptive Kalman filter.

2 Hidden AR

2.1 Model of observations

Consider the model of partially observed time series

$$\begin{aligned} X_t &= f Y_{t-1} + \sigma w_t, & X_0 &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, d_x^2), & t &= 1, 2, \dots, \\ Y_t &= a Y_{t-1} + b v_t, & Y_0 &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, d_y^2), \end{aligned}$$

where $X^T = (X_0, X_1, \dots, X_T)$ are observations and auto regressive (AR) process $Y_t, t \geq 0$ is a hidden process. Here $v_t, w_t, t \geq 1$ are independent standard Gaussian random variables. The system is defined by the parameters $a, b, f, \sigma^2, d_x^2, d_y^2$. We study this model under condition

$$\mathcal{A}_0 : \quad a^2 \in [0, 1), \quad b^2 > 0, \quad f^2 > 0, \quad \sigma^2 > 0.$$

It will be convenient for instant to denote $\vartheta = (a, b, f, \sigma^2)$.

The conditional expectation $m(\vartheta, t) = \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta}(Y_t | X_0, X_1, \dots, X_t)$ according to the equations of Kalman filter (Kalman 1960) satisfies the equation

$$m(\vartheta, t) = a m(\vartheta, t-1) + \frac{af\gamma(\vartheta, t-1)}{\sigma^2 + f^2\gamma(\vartheta, t-1)} [X_t - fm(\vartheta, t-1)].$$

The initial value is $m(\vartheta, 0) = \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta}(Y_0 | X_0)$. The mean square error $\gamma(\vartheta, t) = \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta}(Y_t - m(\vartheta, t))^2$ is described by the equation

$$\gamma(\vartheta, t) = a^2\gamma(\vartheta, t-1) + b^2 - \frac{a^2 f^2 \gamma(\vartheta, t-1)^2}{\sigma^2 + f^2 \gamma(\vartheta, t-1)}, \quad t \geq 1$$

with the initial value $\gamma(\vartheta, 0) = \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta}(Y_0 - m(\vartheta, 0))^2$.

If some of the mentioned parameters are unknown, then, of course, we can not use these equations for calculation of $m(\vartheta, t), t \geq 1$.

The proposed program is consists in several steps.

1. First on some learning interval $[0, \tau_T]$ of negligible length ($\tau_T/T \rightarrow 0$) we construct a preliminary estimator $\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*$.
2. Then this estimator is used for defining the One-step MLE-process $\vartheta_T^* = (\vartheta_{t,T}^*, t = \tau_T + 1, \dots, T)$
3. and finally the approximation $m_T^* = (m_{t,T}^*, t = \tau_T + 1, \dots, T)$ is obtained by substituting ϑ_T^* in the equations for $m(\vartheta, t)$.
4. The last step is to evaluate the error $m_{t,T}^* - m(\vartheta, t)$.

Remark that the function $\gamma(\vartheta, t)$ converges to the value

$$\gamma_*(\vartheta) = \frac{f^2 b^2 - \sigma^2 (1 - a^2)}{2f^2} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{\sigma^2 (1 - a^2)}{f^2} - b^2 \right)^2 + \frac{4b^2 \sigma^2}{f^2} \right]^{1/2}$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore $m(\vartheta, t)$ satisfies

$$m_t(\vartheta) = a m_{t-1}(\vartheta) + \frac{a f \gamma_*(\vartheta)}{\sigma^2 + f^2 \gamma_*(\vartheta)} [X_t - f m_{t-1}(\vartheta)], \quad t \geq 1.$$

Note as well that if we denote ϑ_0 the true value, then

$$\zeta_t(\vartheta_0) = X_t - f_0 m_{t-1}(\vartheta_0) (\sigma_0^2 + f_0^2 \gamma_*(\vartheta_0))^{-1/2}, \quad t \geq 1$$

are i.i.d. standard Gaussian random variables. This means that the equation of observations is

$$X_t = f_0 m_{t-1}(\vartheta_0) + \sqrt{\sigma_0^2 + f_0^2 \gamma_*(\vartheta_0)} \zeta_t(\vartheta_0), \quad t \geq 1.$$

Using this representation we can rewrite the equation for filter

$$m_t(\vartheta) = a m_{t-1}(\vartheta) + \frac{af\gamma_*(\vartheta)}{\sigma^2 + f^2\gamma_*(\vartheta)} [f_0 m_{t-1}(\vartheta_0) - f m_{t-1}(\vartheta)] \\ + \frac{af\gamma_*(\vartheta) \sqrt{\sigma_0^2 + f_0^2\gamma_*(\vartheta_0)}}{\sigma^2 + f^2\gamma_*(\vartheta)} \zeta_t(\vartheta_0), \quad t \geq 1.$$

The likelihood function is

$$L(\vartheta, X^T) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi P(\vartheta)} \right)^{T/2} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{(X_t - f m_{t-1}(\vartheta))^2}{P(\vartheta)} \right).$$

Here $P(\vartheta) = \sigma^2 + f^2\gamma_*(\vartheta)$ and Θ is an open, bounded, convex set of the possible values of the parameter ϑ .

2.2 Method of moments estimators

Introduce three statistics

$$S_{1,T} (X^T) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (X_t - X_{t-1})^2,$$

$$S_{2,T} (X^T) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=2}^T (X_t - X_{t-1}) (X_{t-1} - X_{t-2}),$$

$$S_{3,T} (X^T) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=3}^T (X_t - X_{t-1}) (X_{t-2} - X_{t-3})$$

and study their asymptotic ($T \rightarrow \infty$) behavior. We suppose that the condition \mathcal{A}_0 is fulfilled and the true value is denoted as ϑ_0 .

Lemma 1. *We have the limits*

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_{1,T} (X^T) &\longrightarrow \Phi_1 (\vartheta_0) = \frac{2f^2b^2}{1+a} + 2\sigma^2, \\
 S_{2,T} (X^T) &\longrightarrow \Phi_2 (\vartheta_0) = \frac{f^2b^2 (a-1)}{1+a} - \sigma^2, \\
 S_{3,T} (X^T) &\longrightarrow \Phi_3 (\vartheta_0) = \frac{f^2b^2a (a-1)}{(1+a)},
 \end{aligned}$$

and there exist constants $C_1 > 0$, $C_2 > 0$, $C_3 > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{\vartheta_0 \in \mathbb{K}} \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} |S_{1,T} (X^T) - \Phi_1 (\vartheta_0)|^2 \leq \frac{C_1}{T},$$

$$\sup_{\vartheta_0 \in \mathbb{K}} \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} |S_{2,T} (X^T) - \Phi_2 (\vartheta_0)|^2 \leq \frac{C_2}{T},$$

$$\sup_{\vartheta_0 \in \mathbb{K}} \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} |S_{3,T} (X^T) - \Phi_3 (\vartheta_0)|^2 \leq \frac{C_3}{T}.$$

Remark 1. We have as well the asymptotic normality

$$\sqrt{T} (S_{1,T} (X^T) - \Phi_1 (\vartheta_0)) \implies \mathcal{N} (0, D_1 (\vartheta_0)^2),$$

$$\sqrt{T} (S_{2,T} (X^T) - \Phi_2 (\vartheta_0)) \implies \mathcal{N} (0, D_2 (\vartheta_0)^2),$$

$$\sqrt{T} (S_{3,T} (X^T) - \Phi_3 (\vartheta_0)) \implies \mathcal{N} (0, D_3 (\vartheta_0)^2)$$

All parameters of the model can be estimated with the help of the introduced statistics $S_{1,T} (X^T)$, $S_{2,T} (X^T)$, $S_{3,T} (X^T)$.

2.2.1 Estimation of the one-dim. parameters.

The MME of b has the properties

$$b_T^* = \left(\frac{(S_{1,T}(X^T) - 2\sigma^2)(1+a)}{2f^2} \right)^{1/2} \longrightarrow b_0$$

MME a_T^* is

$$a_T^* = \frac{2f^2b^2}{S_{1,T}(X^T) - 2\sigma^2} - 1 \longrightarrow a_0.$$

The MME

$$\sigma_T^{2*} = \frac{1}{2}S_{1,T}(X^T) - \frac{f^2b^2}{1+a} \longrightarrow \sigma_0^2.$$

2.2.2 Estimation of the parameter $\vartheta = (a, f)$.

The MME $\vartheta_T^* = (a_T^*, f_T^*)$ is solution of the system of equations

$$S_{1,T}(X^T) = \Phi_1(\vartheta_T^*), \quad S_{2,T}(X^T) = \Phi_2(\vartheta_T^*)$$

and has the following form

$$a_T^* = \frac{S_{1,T}(X^T) + S_{2,T}(X^T) - \sigma^2}{S_{1,T}(X^T) - 2\sigma^2},$$
$$f_T^* = \left(\frac{S_{1,T}(X^T)(1 + a_T^*) - 2\sigma^2}{2b^2} \right)^{1/2}.$$

It was shown that

$$(a_T^*, f_T^*) \longrightarrow (a_0, f_0), \quad \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \|\vartheta_T^* - \vartheta_0\|^2 \leq \frac{C}{T}.$$

2.2.3 Estimation of the parameter $\vartheta = (a, f, \sigma^2)$.

In this case we have three equations

$$S_{1,T}(X^T) = \frac{2f^2b^2}{1+a} + 2\sigma^2, \quad S_{2,T}(X^T) = \frac{f^2b^2(a-1)}{1+a} - \sigma^2,$$
$$S_{3,T}(X^T) = \frac{f^2b^2a(a-1)}{(1+a)}.$$

The MME $\vartheta_T^* = (a_T^*, f_T^*, \sigma_T^{2*})$ is the following solution of this system:

$$a_T^* = \frac{2S_{3,T}(X^T)}{S_{1,T}(X^T) + 2S_{2,T}(X^T)} + 1,$$
$$f_T^* = \frac{S_{3,T}(X^T)(1+a_T^*)}{b^2a_T^*(a_T^*-1)},$$
$$\sigma_T^{2*} = \frac{1}{2}S_{1,T}(X^T) - \frac{(f_T^*)^2b^2}{1+a_T^*}.$$

2.3 Fisher informations

We have the same model of observations

$$\begin{aligned} X_t &= fY_{t-1} + \sigma w_t, & X_0, & & t \geq 1, \\ Y_t &= aY_{t-1} + b v_t, & Y_0, & & t \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

where $w_t, v_t, t \geq 1$ are independent standard Gaussian r.v.'s and f, σ^2, a, b are parameters of the model. As before, we suppose that some of these parameters are unknown and we have to estimate the unknown parameters $\vartheta \in \Theta$ by the observations

$X^T = (X_0, X_1, \dots, X_T)$. The MMEs studied above are consistent, but not asymptotically efficient. That is why we propose below the construction of One-step MLE-process, which allow us to solve two problems: first we obtain asymptotically efficient estimators of these parameters and the second - we describe the approximation of the conditional expectation $m(\vartheta, t), t \geq 1$.

2.3.1 Unknown parameter b

Introduce the notation: $\Gamma(\vartheta) = f^2 \gamma_*(\vartheta)$, $P(\vartheta) = \sigma^2 + \Gamma(\vartheta)$ and

$$A(\vartheta) = \frac{a\sigma^2}{P(\vartheta)}, \quad B(\vartheta, \vartheta_0) = \frac{a\Gamma(\vartheta) \sqrt{P(\vartheta_0)}}{P(\vartheta)},$$

$$\dot{B}_b(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0) = \left. \frac{\partial B(\vartheta, \vartheta_0)}{\partial \vartheta} \right|_{\vartheta=\vartheta_0} = \frac{a\sigma^2 \dot{\Gamma}(\vartheta_0)}{P(\vartheta_0)^{3/2}}.$$

Note that $\inf_{\vartheta \in \Theta} \dot{\Gamma}(\vartheta_0) > 0$. Another important estimate is

$$\sup_{\vartheta \in \Theta} |A(\vartheta)| < 1.$$

The Fisher information is

$$I_b(\vartheta_0) = \frac{\dot{P}_b(\vartheta_0)^2 \left[P(\vartheta_0)^2 + a^2 \sigma^4 \right]}{2P(\vartheta_0)^2 \left[P(\vartheta_0)^2 - a^2 \sigma^4 \right]}.$$

2.3.2 Unknown parameter a

The Fisher information is

$$I_a(\vartheta_0) = \frac{2Q(\vartheta_0) + \dot{P}(\vartheta_0)^2}{2P(\vartheta_0)},$$

where

$$Q(\vartheta_0) =$$

$$= \left(1 - A(\vartheta_0)^2\right)^{-1} \left[\frac{\vartheta_0^2 \Gamma(\vartheta_0)^2}{P(\vartheta_0)^2 (1 - \vartheta_0^2)} + \frac{[\Gamma(\vartheta_0) P(\vartheta_0) + \sigma^2 \dot{P}(\vartheta_0)]^2}{P(\vartheta_0)^3} \right]$$

$$+ \frac{2A(\vartheta_0) \vartheta_0 \Gamma(\vartheta_0)^2}{P(\vartheta_0)^2 (1 - \vartheta_0 A(\vartheta_0))} \left(\frac{\vartheta_0}{(1 - A(\vartheta_0)^2)} + P(\vartheta_0) + \frac{\vartheta_0 \sigma^2 \dot{P}(\vartheta_0)}{\Gamma(\vartheta_0)} \right)$$

2.4 One-step MLE-process

We consider the construction of One-step MLE-process in the case of unknown parameter $\vartheta = b \in \Theta = (\alpha_b, \beta_b), \alpha_b > 0$. Let us fix a learning interval of observations $[X_0, X_1, \dots, X_{\tau_T}]$, where $\tau_T = [T^\delta]$, $\delta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ and $[A]$ here is the integer part of A . As preliminary estimator we take the MME $\vartheta_{\tau_T}^* = b_{\tau_T}^*$ defined by

$$\vartheta_{\tau_T}^* = 2^{-1/2} f^{-1} \left([S_{1, \tau_T} (X^{\tau_T}) - 2\sigma^2] (1 + a) \right)^{1/2}.$$

One-step MLE-process is

$$\vartheta_{t,T}^* = \vartheta_{\tau_T}^* + \frac{\mathbf{I}_b(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)^{-1}}{(t - \tau_T)} \sum_{s=\tau_T+1}^t \left[\frac{[X_s - fm_{s-1}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)]}{P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)} fm_{s-1}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) \right. \\ \left. + \left([X_s - fm_{s-1}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)]^2 - P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) \right) \frac{\dot{P}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)}{2P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)^2} \right], \quad t \in [\tau_T + 2, T].$$

Theorem 1. *If $t = [vT]$, $v \in (0, 1]$ and $T \rightarrow \infty$, then the following convergences*

$$\sqrt{t} (\vartheta_{t,T}^* - \vartheta_0) \implies \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I}_b(\vartheta_0)^{-1}), \quad t \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} (\vartheta_{t,T}^* - \vartheta_0)^2 \longrightarrow \frac{1}{\mathbf{I}_b(\vartheta_0)}.$$

hold uniformly on compacts $\mathbb{K} \subset \Theta$.

Then the One-step MLE-process is given by the equality

$$\vartheta_{t,T}^* = \theta_{\tau_T}^* + \frac{\mathbf{I}(\theta_{\tau_T}^*)^{-1}}{t - \tau_T} \sum_{s=\tau_T+1}^t \left[\frac{[X_s - M(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*, s-1)]}{P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)} \dot{M}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*, s-1) \right. \\ \left. + \left([X_s - M(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*, s-1)]^2 - P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) \right) \frac{\dot{P}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)}{2P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)^2} \right], \quad t \in [\tau_T + 2, T].$$

It can be shown that for any $v \in (0, T]$, if we put $t = vT$ then the normalized difference is asymptotically normal:

$$\sqrt{t} (\vartheta_{t,T}^* - \vartheta_0) \implies \xi(\vartheta_0) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I}(\theta_0)^{-1}), \\ t \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \|\vartheta_{t,T}^* - \vartheta_0\|^2 \longrightarrow \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \|\xi(\vartheta_0)\|^2.$$

2.5 MLE and BE

Consider the model of observations

$$\begin{aligned} X_t &= f Y_{t-1} + \sigma w_t, & X_0 &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, d_x^2), & t &= 1, 2, \dots, \\ Y_t &= a Y_{t-1} + b v_t, & Y_0 &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, d_y^2), \end{aligned}$$

where the unknown parameter is $\vartheta = b \in \Theta = (\alpha_b, \beta_b)$, $\alpha_b > 0$. Below we study the MLE $\hat{\vartheta}_T$ and BE $\tilde{\vartheta}_T$ defined by the usual relations

$$L(\hat{\vartheta}_T, X^T) = \sup_{\vartheta \in \Theta} L(\vartheta, X^T), \quad \tilde{\vartheta}_T = \frac{\int_{\Theta} \vartheta p(\vartheta) L(\vartheta, X^T) d\vartheta}{\int_{\Theta} p(\vartheta) L(\vartheta, X^T) d\vartheta}.$$

Here $p(\vartheta)$, $\vartheta \in \Theta$ is continuous, positive on Θ density a priori.

Recall the notation $P(\vartheta) = \sigma^2 + f^2 \gamma_*(\vartheta)$,

$$A(\vartheta) = \frac{a\sigma^2}{P(\vartheta)^2}, \quad B(\vartheta, \vartheta_0) = \frac{af\gamma_*(\vartheta)\sqrt{P(\vartheta_0)}}{P(\vartheta)},$$

$$\dot{B}(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0) = \left. \frac{\partial B(\vartheta, \vartheta_0)}{\partial \vartheta} \right|_{\vartheta=\vartheta_0} = \frac{af\sigma^2 \dot{\gamma}_*(\vartheta_0)}{P(\vartheta_0)^{3/2}}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I}_b(\vartheta_0) &= \frac{f^2 \dot{B}(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0)^2}{\left[1 - A(\vartheta_0)^2\right] P(\vartheta_0)} + \frac{\dot{P}(\vartheta_0)^2}{2P(\vartheta_0)^2} \\ &= \frac{f^2 \dot{\gamma}_*(\vartheta_0)^2}{P(\vartheta_0)} \left[\frac{a^2 \sigma^4}{P(\vartheta_0)^2 - a^2 \sigma^4} + \frac{1}{2P(\vartheta_0)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Below it is shown that the family of measures is LAN. Therefore

We have Hajek-Le Cam's lower bound: for any $\bar{\vartheta}_T$ and any $\vartheta_0 \in \Theta$

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow 0} \liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|\vartheta - \vartheta_0| \leq \nu} T \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta} (\bar{\vartheta}_T - \vartheta)^2 \geq \mathbf{I}_b(\vartheta_0)^{-1}.$$

This bound allows us to define the asymptotically efficient estimator ϑ_T° as estimator for which the equality holds for all $\vartheta_0 \in \Theta$.

Theorem 2. *The MLE and BE are consistent, asymptotically normal,*

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{T} (\hat{\vartheta}_T - \vartheta_0) &\implies \mathcal{N} \left(0, \mathbf{I}_b(\vartheta_0)^{-1} \right), \\ \sqrt{T} (\tilde{\vartheta}_T - \vartheta_0) &\implies \mathcal{N} \left(0, \mathbf{I}_b(\vartheta_0)^{-1} \right), \end{aligned}$$

the polynomial moments converge and the both estimators are asymptotically efficient.

2.6 Adaptive filter

We are given the partially observed system

$$\begin{aligned} X_t &= f Y_{t-1} + \sigma w_t, & X_0, & \quad t = 1, 2, \dots, \\ Y_t &= a Y_{t-1} + b v_t, & Y_0. & \end{aligned}$$

Recall that if all parameters of the model $\vartheta = (f, \sigma^2, a, b)$ are known, then the stationary version $m_t(\vartheta)$ of the conditional expectation $m(\vartheta, t) = \mathbf{E}_\vartheta(Y_t | X_s, s \leq t)$ satisfies the equation

$$\begin{aligned} m_t(\vartheta) &= a m_{t-1}(\vartheta) + \frac{a f \gamma_*(\vartheta)}{\sigma^2 + f^2 \gamma_*(\vartheta)} [X_t - f m_{t-1}(\vartheta)], \quad m_0(\vartheta), \\ \gamma_*(\vartheta) &= \frac{1}{2f^2} [f^2 b^2 - \sigma^2 (1 - a^2)] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2f^2 \sqrt{(\sigma^2 (1 - a^2) - b^2 f^2)^2 + 4f^2 b^2 \sigma^2}}. \end{aligned}$$

2.6.1 Unknown parameter b

Suppose that the values $f > 0$, $a^2 \in [0, 1)$ and $\sigma^2 > 0$ are known and the only unknown parameter is $\vartheta = b \in \Theta = (\alpha_b, \beta_b)$, $\alpha_b > 0$. The $\vartheta_{\tau_T}^* = b_{\tau_T}^*$, $\tau_T = [T^\delta]$, $\delta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$.

$$\vartheta_{\tau_T}^* = 2^{-1/2} f^{-1} \left[\left(\frac{1}{\tau_T} \sum_{s=1}^{\tau_T} [X_s - X_{s-1}]^2 + \sigma^2 \right) (1 + a) \right]^{1/2}.$$

Then we define the One-step MLE-process

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_{t,T}^* = \vartheta_{\tau_T}^* + \frac{I_b(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)^{-1}}{(t - \tau_T)} \sum_{s=\tau_T+1}^t \left[\frac{[X_s - fm_{s-1}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)]}{P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)} fm_{s-1}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) \right. \\ \left. + \left([X_s - fm_{s-1}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)]^2 - P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) \right) \frac{\dot{P}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)}{2P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)^2} \right], \quad t \in [\tau_T + 1, T]. \end{aligned}$$

For $s > \tau_T + 1$ we can write

$$m_{s-1}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) = P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)^{-1} \left[a\sigma^2 m_{s-2}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) + af\gamma_*(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) X_{s-1} \right],$$

$$\dot{m}_{s-1}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) = \frac{a\sigma^2 \left[P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) \dot{m}_{s-2}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*, s-2) - f^2 \dot{\gamma}_*(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) m_{s-2}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) \right]}{P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)^2}$$

$$+ \frac{af\sigma^2 \dot{\gamma}_*(\vartheta)}{P(\vartheta)^2} X_{s-1}.$$

Here $P(\vartheta) = \sigma^2 + f^2 \gamma_*(\vartheta)$ and the Fisher information is

$$I_b(\vartheta) = \frac{\dot{P}_b(\vartheta_0)^2 \left[P(\vartheta_0)^2 + a^2 \sigma^4 \right]}{2P(\vartheta_0)^2 \left[P(\vartheta_0)^2 - a^2 \sigma^4 \right]},$$

where $A(\vartheta) = P(\vartheta)^{-1} a\sigma^2$.

The adaptive Kalman filter we introduce with the help of the process $m_{t,T}^*$ defined as follows

$$\hat{m}_{t,T} = P(\vartheta_{t-1,T}^*)^{-1} [a\sigma^2 m_{t-1,T}^* + af\gamma_*(\vartheta_{t-1,T}^*)X_t], \quad t \in [\tau_T + 1, T]$$

We have to compare $m_{t,T}^*$ with $m(\vartheta_0, t)$ for large T .

Below $\dot{B}(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0) = -f^{-1} \sqrt{P(\vartheta_0)} \dot{A}(\vartheta_0) = P(\vartheta_0)^{-3/2} af\sigma^2 \dot{\gamma}_*(\vartheta_0)$
and $\eta_{t,T} = \sqrt{t} [\vartheta_{t,T}^* - \vartheta_0]$.

Theorem 3. *Let $t = [vT]$, $v \in (0, 1]$, $k = t - \tau_T + 2$ and $T \rightarrow \infty$. Then the following relations hold*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sqrt{t} [m_{t,T}^* - m_t(\vartheta_0)] \\ &= \dot{B}(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0) \sum_{m=0}^k A(\vartheta_0)^m \eta_{t-m-1,T} \zeta_{t-m}(\vartheta_0) + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

$$t \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} [m_{t,T}^* - m_t(\vartheta_0)]^2 \longrightarrow \frac{\dot{B}(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0)^2}{\mathbf{I}_b(\vartheta_0) (1 - A(\vartheta_0)^2)}.$$

Remark 2. The adaptive Kalman filter is given by the relations, where the only One-step MLE-process is in non recurrent form. Let us write this estimator in recurrent form too

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_{t,T}^* &= \frac{\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*}{t - \tau_T} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{t - \tau_T}\right) \vartheta_{t-1,T}^* \\ &+ \frac{\left[X_t - fm_{t-1}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)\right] f\dot{m}_{t-1}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)}{\mathbf{I}_b(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) (t - \tau_T) P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)} \\ &+ \frac{\left(\left[X_t - fm_{t-1}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)\right]^2 - P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)\right) \dot{P}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)}{2\mathbf{I}_b(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) (t - \tau_T) P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)^2}, \quad t \in [\tau_T + 1, T]. \end{aligned}$$

Now the adaptive Kalman filter is in recurrent form.

Remark 3. The cases $\vartheta = f$, $\vartheta = a$ and $\vartheta = \sigma^2$ can be studied similarly. If we consider the two-dimensional cases, say, $\vartheta = (f, a)$, then the corresponding adaptive Kalman filter can be written as well. The preliminary MME estimator $\vartheta_{\tau_T}^* = (f_T^*, a_T^*)^\top$ was defined above, for the Fisher information matrix see above too, the equations for $\dot{m}_f(\vartheta_T, t)$ and $\dot{m}_a(\vartheta_T, t)$ can be easily written. The equation for $m_{t,T}^*$ has exactly the same form as the given above.

2.7 Asymptotic efficiency

We have the same system

$$\begin{aligned} X_t &= f Y_{t-1} + \sigma w_t, & X_0, & \quad t = 1, 2, \dots, \\ Y_t &= a Y_{t-1} + b v_t, & Y_0. & \end{aligned}$$

where the parameters f, a, b, σ^2 satisfy the condition \mathcal{A}_0 .

The adaptive filter is given above and we would like to know if the error of approximation $\mathbf{E}_{\vartheta} |m_{t,T}^* - m(\vartheta, t)|^2$ is asymptotically minimal? As before we propose a lower minimax bound on the risks of all estimators \bar{m}_t supposing that their calculation is based on the observations up to time t , i.e., these estimators depend on $X^t = (X_s, 0 \leq s \leq t)$.

Denote the limit (below $\eta_{vT,T}^*(\vartheta_0) = \sqrt{vT} \left(\tilde{\vartheta}_{vT,T} - \vartheta_0 \right)$)

$$S(\vartheta_0)^2 = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \left[\dot{m}(\vartheta_0, vT)^2 \eta_{vT,T}^*(\vartheta_0)^2 \right].$$

The value of $S(\vartheta_0)^2$ can be calculated with the help of the representations for $m(\vartheta)$ and $\dot{m}(\vartheta)$, but the corresponding expression will be too cumbersome and we omit this expression.

Theorem 4. *Let the conditions of Theorem 1 be fulfilled. Then we have the following lower minimax bound: for any estimator $\bar{m}_{t,T}$ of $m(\vartheta, t)$ (below $t = vT$)*

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow 0} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|\vartheta - \vartheta_0| \leq \nu} t \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta} |\bar{m}_{t,T} - m(\vartheta, t)|^2 \geq S(\vartheta_0)^2.$$

We call the estimator-process $m_{t,T}^\circ, \tau_T < t \leq T$ *asymptotically efficient* if for all $\vartheta_0 \in \Theta$, $t = vT$, any $v \in [\varepsilon_0, 1]$

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow 0} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|\vartheta - \vartheta_0| \leq \nu} t \mathbf{E}_\vartheta |m_{t,T}^\circ - m(\vartheta, t)|^2 = S(\vartheta_0)^2.$$

Here $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$.

Theorem 5. *The estimator $m_t^*, \tau_T < t \leq T$ is asymptotically efficient.*