

---

# Efficient estimation for ergodic SDE models sampled at high frequency

Michael Sørensen

Department of Mathematical Sciences  
University of Copenhagen, Denmark

<http://www.math.ku.dk/~michael>

# Discretely observed diffusion

---

$$dX_t = b(X_t; \theta)dt + \sigma(X_t; \theta)dW_t \quad \theta \in \Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p$$

State space:  $D = (\ell, r), -\infty \leq \ell < r \leq \infty$

Data:  $X_{t_0}, \dots, X_{t_n} \quad \Delta_i = t_i - t_{i-1}$

# Discretely observed diffusion

---

$$dX_t = b(X_t; \theta)dt + \sigma(X_t; \theta)dW_t \quad \theta \in \Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p$$

State space:  $D = (\ell, r), -\infty \leq \ell < r \leq \infty$

Data:  $X_{t_0}, \dots, X_{t_n} \quad \Delta_i = t_i - t_{i-1}$

Review papers:

Helle Sørensen (2004) Int. Stat. Rev.

Bibby, Jacobsen and Sørensen (2004)

Sørensen (2008a,b)

# Quadratic estimating functions

---

Approximate likelihood function

$$L_n(\theta) \doteq M_n(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n q(\Delta_i, X_{t_{i-1}}, X_{t_i}; \theta)$$

$$p(\Delta, x, y; \theta) \doteq q(\Delta, x, y; \theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\Phi(\Delta, x; \theta)}} \exp \left[ \frac{(y - F(\Delta, x; \theta))^2}{2\Phi(\Delta, x; \theta)} \right]$$

$$F(x; \theta) = E_\theta(X_\Delta | X_0 = x) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(x; \theta) = \text{Var}_\theta(X_\Delta | X_0 = x)$$

# Quadratic estimating functions

---

Approximate likelihood function

$$L_n(\theta) \doteq M_n(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n q(\Delta_i, X_{t_{i-1}}, X_{t_i}; \theta)$$

$$p(\Delta, x, y; \theta) \doteq q(\Delta, x, y; \theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\Phi(\Delta, x; \theta)}} \exp \left[ \frac{(y - F(\Delta, x; \theta))^2}{2\Phi(\Delta, x; \theta)} \right]$$

$$F(x; \theta) = E_\theta(X_\Delta | X_0 = x) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(x; \theta) = \text{Var}_\theta(X_\Delta | X_0 = x)$$

Approximate score function

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\theta \log M_n(\theta) = & \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \frac{\partial_\theta F(\Delta_i, X_{t_{i-1}}; \theta)}{\Phi(\Delta_i, X_{t_{i-1}}; \theta)} [X_{t_i} - F(\Delta_i, X_{t_{i-1}}; \theta)] \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\partial_\theta \Phi(\Delta_i, X_{t_{i-1}}; \theta)}{2\Phi(\Delta_i, X_{t_{i-1}}; \theta)^2} [(X_{t_i} - F(\Delta_i, X_{t_{i-1}}; \theta))^2 - \Phi(\Delta_i, X_{t_{i-1}}; \theta)] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

# Martingale estimating functions

---

$$G_n(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n g(\Delta_i, X_{t_i}, X_{t_{i-1}}; \theta),$$

$$g(\Delta_i, X_{t_i}, X_{t_{i-1}}; \theta) = \sum_{j=1}^N a_j(X_{t_{i-1}}, \Delta; \theta) [f_j(X_{t_i}; \theta) - E_\theta(f_j(X_{t_i}; \theta) | X_{t_{i-1}})]$$

$\uparrow$   
 p-dimensional

$\uparrow$   
 real valued

# Martingale estimating functions

---

$$G_n(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n g(\Delta_i, X_{t_i}, X_{t_{i-1}}; \theta),$$

$$g(\Delta_i, X_{t_i}, X_{t_{i-1}}; \theta) = \sum_{j=1}^N a_j(X_{t_{i-1}}, \Delta; \theta) [f_j(X_{t_i}; \theta) - E_\theta(f_j(X_{t_i}; \theta) | X_{t_{i-1}})]$$

↑                          ↑

p-dimensional      real valued

$G_n(\theta)$  is a  $P_\theta$ -martingale

# Martingale estimating functions

---

$$G_n(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n g(\Delta_i, X_{t_i}, X_{t_{i-1}}; \theta),$$

$$g(\Delta_i, X_{t_i}, X_{t_{i-1}}; \theta) = \sum_{j=1}^N a_j(X_{t_{i-1}}, \Delta; \theta) [f_j(X_{t_i}; \theta) - E_\theta(f_j(X_{t_i}; \theta) | X_{t_{i-1}})]$$

$\uparrow$                        $\uparrow$   
p-dimensional      real valued

$G_n(\theta)$  is a  $P_\theta$ -martingale

$G_n$ -estimator(s):       $G_n(\hat{\theta}_n) = 0$



# Martingale estimating functions

---

$$G_n(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n g(\Delta_i, X_{t_i}, X_{t_{i-1}}; \theta),$$

$$g(\Delta_i, X_{t_i}, X_{t_{i-1}}; \theta) = \sum_{j=1}^N a_j(X_{t_{i-1}}, \Delta; \theta) [f_j(X_{t_i}; \theta) - E_\theta(f_j(X_{t_i}; \theta) | X_{t_{i-1}})]$$

$\uparrow$                        $\uparrow$   
p-dimensional      real valued

$G_n(\theta)$  is a  $P_\theta$ -martingale

$G_n$ -estimator(s):       $G_n(\hat{\theta}_n) = 0$

Bibby and Sørensen (1995,1996)

# Martingale estimating functions

---

$$G_n(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n g(\Delta_i, X_{t_i}, X_{t_{i-1}}; \theta),$$

$$g(\Delta_i, X_{t_i}, X_{t_{i-1}}; \theta) = \sum_{j=1}^N a_j(X_{t_{i-1}}, \Delta; \theta) [f_j(X_{t_i}; \theta) - E_\theta(f_j(X_{t_i}; \theta) | X_{t_{i-1}})]$$

- Easy asymptotics by martingale limit theory

# Martingale estimating functions

---

$$G_n(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n g(\Delta_i, X_{t_i}, X_{t_{i-1}}; \theta),$$

$$g(\Delta_i, X_{t_i}, X_{t_{i-1}}; \theta) = \sum_{j=1}^N a_j(X_{t_{i-1}}, \Delta; \theta) [f_j(X_{t_i}; \theta) - E_\theta(f_j(X_{t_i}; \theta) | X_{t_{i-1}})]$$

- Easy asymptotics by martingale limit theory
- Simple expression for Godambe-Heyde optimal estimating function

# Martingale estimating functions

---

$$G_n(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n g(\Delta_i, X_{t_i}, X_{t_{i-1}}; \theta),$$

$$g(\Delta_i, X_{t_i}, X_{t_{i-1}}; \theta) = \sum_{j=1}^N a_j(X_{t_{i-1}}, \Delta; \theta) [f_j(X_{t_i}; \theta) - E_\theta(f_j(X_{t_i}; \theta) | X_{t_{i-1}})]$$

- Easy asymptotics by martingale limit theory
- Simple expression for Godambe-Heyde optimal estimating function
- Approximates the score function, which is a  $P_\theta$ -martingale

# Martingale estimating functions

---

$$G_n(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n g(\Delta_i, X_{t_i}, X_{t_{i-1}}; \theta),$$

$$g(\Delta_i, X_{t_i}, X_{t_{i-1}}; \theta) = \sum_{j=1}^N a_j(X_{t_{i-1}}, \Delta; \theta) [f_j(X_{t_i}; \theta) - E_\theta(f_j(X_{t_i}; \theta) | X_{t_{i-1}})]$$

- Easy asymptotics by martingale limit theory
- Simple expression for Godambe-Heyde optimal estimating function
- Approximates the score function, which is a  $P_\theta$ -martingale
- Particular and most efficient instance of GMM

# Approximate martingale estimating functions

---

$$G_n(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n g(\Delta_i, X_{t_i}, X_{t_{i-1}}; \theta),$$

$$g(\Delta, y, x; \theta) = \sum_{j=1}^N a_j(x, \Delta; \theta) [f_j(y; \theta) - \pi_{\theta}^{\Delta} f_j(x; \theta)]$$

Transition operator:  $\pi_{\theta}^{\Delta} f_j(x; \theta) = E_{\theta}(f_j(X_{\Delta}; \theta) | X_0 = x)$

# Approximate martingale estimating functions

---

$$G_n(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n g(\Delta_i, X_{t_i}, X_{t_{i-1}}; \theta),$$

$$g(\Delta, y, x; \theta) = \sum_{j=1}^N a_j(x, \Delta; \theta) [f_j(y; \theta) - \pi_{\theta}^{\Delta} f_j(x; \theta)]$$

Transition operator:  $\pi_{\theta}^{\Delta} f_j(x; \theta) = E_{\theta}(f_j(X_{\Delta}; \theta) | X_0 = x)$

$$\pi_{\theta}^{\Delta} f_j(x; \theta) = f_j(x; \theta) + \Delta \left\{ b(x; \theta) \partial_x f_j(x; \theta) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(x; \theta) \partial_x^2 f_j(x; \theta) \right\} + O(\Delta^2)$$

# Approximate martingale estimating functions

---

$$G_n(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n g(\Delta_i, X_{t_i}, X_{t_{i-1}}; \theta),$$

$$g(\Delta, y, x; \theta) = \sum_{j=1}^N a_j(x, \Delta; \theta) [f_j(y; \theta) - \pi_\theta^\Delta f_j(x; \theta)]$$

Transition operator:  $\pi_\theta^\Delta f_j(x; \theta) = E_\theta(f_j(X_\Delta; \theta) | X_0 = x)$

$$\pi_\theta^\Delta f_j(x; \theta) = f_j(x; \theta) + \Delta \left\{ b(x; \theta) \partial_x f_j(x; \theta) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(x; \theta) \partial_x^2 f_j(x; \theta) \right\} + O(\Delta^2)$$

Dorogovcev (1976), Prakasa Rao (1988), Florens-Zmirou (1989),  
Yoshida (1992), Chan et al. (1992), Kessler (1997),  
Kelly, Platen and Sørensen (2004)



# Jacobi diffusion

---

Larsen & Sørensen (2007):

$$dX_t = -\beta[X_t - (m + \gamma z)]dt + \sigma \sqrt{z^2 - (X_t - m)^2}dW_t$$

The eigenfunctions are given in terms of Jacobi polynomials

Asymptotic information at  $(\beta, \gamma, \sigma^2) = (0.02, 0, 0.01)$ :

Eigenfunction no.	1	2	1 & 2
Inf. for $\hat{\beta}$	47.4	44.8	49.2
Inf. for $\hat{\sigma}^2$	0	759	5016

For optimal estimating functions based on more than two eigenfunctions, the information is not increased by more than 1 - 3 per cent

# High frequency asymptotics

---

$$dX_t = b(X_t; \alpha)dt + \sigma(X_t; \beta)dW_t$$

$$\theta = (\alpha, \beta) \in \Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \quad \theta_0 \text{ is the true parameter value}$$

State space:  $(\ell, r)$

Ergodic with invariant measure  $\mu_\theta$ .

Data:  $X_{t_0^n}, \dots, X_{t_n^n}$        $t_i^n = i\Delta_n, i = 0, \dots, n.$

High frequency asymptotic scenario:

$$n \rightarrow \infty \quad \Delta_n \rightarrow 0 \quad n\Delta_n \rightarrow \infty$$

# Condition 1: the process

---

- $\int_{x^\#}^r s(x; \theta) dx = \int_\ell^{x^\#} s(x; \theta) dx = \infty$  and  $\int_\ell^r x^k \tilde{\mu}_\theta(x) dx < \infty$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $x^\#$  is an arbitrary point in  $(\ell, r)$ ,

$$s(x; \theta) = \exp\left(-2 \int_{x^\#}^x \frac{b(y; \alpha)}{\sigma^2(y; \beta)} dy\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mu}_\theta(x) = [s(x; \theta) \sigma^2(x; \beta)]^{-1}$$

# Condition 1: the process

---

- $\int_{x^\#}^r s(x; \theta) dx = \int_\ell^{x^\#} s(x; \theta) dx = \infty$  and  $\int_\ell^r x^k \tilde{\mu}_\theta(x) dx < \infty$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $x^\#$  is an arbitrary point in  $(\ell, r)$ ,

$$s(x; \theta) = \exp\left(-2 \int_{x^\#}^x \frac{b(y; \alpha)}{\sigma^2(y; \beta)} dy\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mu}_\theta(x) = [s(x; \theta) \sigma^2(x; \beta)]^{-1}$$

- $\sup_t E_\theta(|X_t|^k) < \infty$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

# Condition 1: the process

---

- $\int_{x^\#}^r s(x; \theta) dx = \int_\ell^{x^\#} s(x; \theta) dx = \infty$  and  $\int_\ell^r x^k \tilde{\mu}_\theta(x) dx < \infty$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $x^\#$  is an arbitrary point in  $(\ell, r)$ ,

$$s(x; \theta) = \exp\left(-2 \int_{x^\#}^x \frac{b(y; \alpha)}{\sigma^2(y; \beta)} dy\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mu}_\theta(x) = [s(x; \theta) \sigma^2(x; \beta)]^{-1}$$

- $\sup_t E_\theta(|X_t|^k) < \infty$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

- $b, \sigma \in C_{p,4,1}((\ell, r) \times \Theta)$

# Technical condition

---

$C_{p,k_1,k_2,k_3}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times (\ell, r)^2 \times \Theta)$  is the class of real functions  $f(t, y, x; \theta)$  satisfying that

- $f(t, y, x; \theta)$  is  $k_1$  times continuously differentiable with respect  $t$ ,  $k_2$  times continuously differentiable with respect  $y$ , and  $k_3$  times continuously differentiable with respect  $\alpha$  and with respect to  $\beta$
- $f$  and all partial derivatives  $\partial_t^{i_1} \partial_y^{i_2} \partial_\alpha^{i_3} \partial_\beta^{i_4} f$ ,  $i_j = 1, \dots, k_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ ,  $i_3 + i_4 \leq k_3$ , are of polynomial growth in  $x$  and  $y$  uniformly for  $\theta$  in a compact set (for fixed  $t$ )

# Technical condition

---

$C_{p,k_1,k_2,k_3}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times (\ell, r)^2 \times \Theta)$  is the class of real functions  $f(t, y, x; \theta)$  satisfying that

- $f(t, y, x; \theta)$  is  $k_1$  times continuously differentiable with respect  $t$ ,  $k_2$  times continuously differentiable with respect  $y$ , and  $k_3$  times continuously differentiable with respect  $\alpha$  and with respect to  $\beta$
- $f$  and all partial derivatives  $\partial_t^{i_1} \partial_y^{i_2} \partial_\alpha^{i_3} \partial_\beta^{i_4} f$ ,  $i_j = 1, \dots, k_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ ,  $i_3 + i_4 \leq k_3$ , are of polynomial growth in  $x$  and  $y$  uniformly for  $\theta$  in a compact set (for fixed  $t$ )

$C_{p,k_1,k_2}((\ell, r) \times \Theta)$  for  $f(y; \theta)$  and  $C_{p,k_1,k_2}((\ell, r)^2 \times \Theta)$  for  $f(y, x; \theta)$  are defined similarly

# Technical condition

---

$C_{p,k_1,k_2,k_3}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times (\ell, r)^2 \times \Theta)$  is the class of real functions  $f(t, y, x; \theta)$  satisfying that

- $f(t, y, x; \theta)$  is  $k_1$  times continuously differentiable with respect  $t$ ,  $k_2$  times continuously differentiable with respect  $y$ , and  $k_3$  times continuously differentiable with respect  $\alpha$  and with respect to  $\beta$
- $f$  and all partial derivatives  $\partial_t^{i_1} \partial_y^{i_2} \partial_\alpha^{i_3} \partial_\beta^{i_4} f$ ,  $i_j = 1, \dots, k_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ ,  $i_3 + i_4 \leq k_3$ , are of polynomial growth in  $x$  and  $y$  uniformly for  $\theta$  in a compact set (for fixed  $t$ )

$C_{p,k_1,k_2}((\ell, r) \times \Theta)$  for  $f(y; \theta)$  and  $C_{p,k_1,k_2}((\ell, r)^2 \times \Theta)$  for  $f(y, x; \theta)$  are defined similarly

$$|R(\Delta, y, x; \theta)| \leq F(y, x; \theta)$$

$F$  is of polynomial growth in  $y$  and  $x$  uniformly for  $\theta$  in a compact set



# Condition 2: the estimating function

---

$$G_n(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n g(\Delta_n, X_{t_i^n}, X_{t_{i-1}^n}; \theta) \quad 2 - \text{dimensional}$$

- For some  $\kappa \geq 2$

$$E_\theta(g(\Delta_n, X_{t_i^n}, X_{t_{i-1}^n}; \theta) | X_{t_{i-1}^n}) = \Delta_n^\kappa R(\Delta_n, X_{t_{i-1}^n}; \theta) \quad \text{for all } \theta \in \Theta$$

# Condition 2: the estimating function

---

$$G_n(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n g(\Delta_n, X_{t_i^n}, X_{t_{i-1}^n}; \theta) \quad 2 - \text{dimensional}$$

- For some  $\kappa \geq 2$

$$E_\theta(g(\Delta_n, X_{t_i^n}, X_{t_{i-1}^n}; \theta) | X_{t_{i-1}^n}) = \Delta_n^\kappa R(\Delta_n, X_{t_{i-1}^n}; \theta) \quad \text{for all } \theta \in \Theta$$

- The function  $g(\Delta, y, x; \theta)$  has an expansion in powers of  $\Delta$

$$g(\Delta, y, x; \theta) = g(0, y, x; \theta) + \Delta g^{(1)}(y, x; \theta) + \frac{1}{2} \Delta^2 g^{(2)}(y, x; \theta) + \Delta^3 R(\Delta, y, x; \theta)$$

# Condition 2: the estimating function

---

$$G_n(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n g(\Delta_n, X_{t_i^n}, X_{t_{i-1}^n}; \theta) \quad 2 - \text{dimensional}$$

- For some  $\kappa \geq 2$

$$E_\theta(g(\Delta_n, X_{t_i^n}, X_{t_{i-1}^n}; \theta) | X_{t_{i-1}^n}) = \Delta_n^\kappa R(\Delta_n, X_{t_{i-1}^n}; \theta) \quad \text{for all } \theta \in \Theta$$

- The function  $g(\Delta, y, x; \theta)$  has an expansion in powers of  $\Delta$

$$g(\Delta, y, x; \theta) = g(0, y, x; \theta) + \Delta g^{(1)}(y, x; \theta) + \frac{1}{2} \Delta^2 g^{(2)}(y, x; \theta) + \Delta^3 R(\Delta, y, x; \theta)$$

- The function  $R(\Delta, y, x; \theta)$  in the expansion of  $g$  is differentiable with respect to  $\theta$ , and

$$g(\Delta, y, x; \theta) \in C_{p,6,2}((\ell, r)^2 \times \Theta) \quad \text{for fixed } \Delta,$$

$$g^{(1)}(y, x; \theta) \in C_{p,4,2}((\ell, r)^2 \times \Theta),$$

$$g^{(2)}(y, x; \theta) \in C_{p,2,2}((\ell, r)^2 \times \Theta)$$

# Theorem 1

---

Suppose

- Conditions 1 and 2

# Theorem 1

---

Suppose

- Conditions 1 and 2
- The identifiability condition that

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma(\theta, \theta_0) = & \int_{\ell}^r [b(x, \alpha_0) - b(x, \alpha)] \partial_y g(0, x, x; \theta) \mu_{\theta_0}(x) dx \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\ell}^r [\sigma^2(x, \beta_0) - \sigma^2(x, \beta)] \partial_y^2 g(0, x, x; \theta) \mu_{\theta_0}(x) dx \neq 0\end{aligned}$$

for all  $\theta \neq \theta_0$

# Theorem 1

---

Suppose

- Conditions 1 and 2
- The identifiability condition that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(\theta, \theta_0) = & \int_{\ell}^r [b(x, \alpha_0) - b(x, \alpha)] \partial_y g(0, x, x; \theta) \mu_{\theta_0}(x) dx \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\ell}^r [\sigma^2(x, \beta_0) - \sigma^2(x, \beta)] \partial_y^2 g(0, x, x; \theta) \mu_{\theta_0}(x) dx \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\theta \neq \theta_0$

- The matrix  $S = \int_{\ell}^r A_{\theta_0}(x) \mu_{\theta_0}(x) dx$  is invertible, where

$$A_{\theta}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{\alpha} b(x; \alpha) \partial_y g_1(0, x, x; \theta) & \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\beta} \sigma^2(x; \beta) \partial_y^2 g_1(0, x, x; \theta) \\ \partial_{\alpha} b(x; \alpha) \partial_y g_2(0, x, x; \theta) & \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\beta} \sigma^2(x; \beta) \partial_y^2 g_2(0, x, x; \theta) \end{pmatrix}$$

# Theorem 1

---

Then a consistent estimator  $\hat{\theta}_n = (\hat{\alpha}_n, \hat{\beta}_n)$  that solves the estimating equation  $G_n(\theta) = 0$  exists and is unique in any compact subset of  $\Theta$  containing  $\theta_0$  with a probability that goes to one as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

# Theorem 1

---

Then a consistent estimator  $\hat{\theta}_n = (\hat{\alpha}_n, \hat{\beta}_n)$  that solves the estimating equation  $G_n(\theta) = 0$  exists and is unique in any compact subset of  $\Theta$  containing  $\theta_0$  with a probability that goes to one as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

For a martingale estimating function or more generally if  $n\Delta^{2\kappa-1} \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$\sqrt{n\Delta_n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N_2(0, S^{-1}V_0(S^T)^{-1})$$

under  $P_{\theta_0}$ , where

$$V_0 = \int_{\ell}^r \sigma^2(x, \beta_0) \partial_y g(0, x, x; \theta_0) \partial_y g(0, x, x; \theta_0)^T \mu_{\theta_0}(x) dx.$$



# Optimal rate

---

Gobet (2002):

A discretely sampled diffusion is LAN in the high frequency asymptotics considered here, and the optimal rate of convergence is

For parameters in the drift coefficient:  $\sqrt{n\Delta_n}$

For parameters in the diffusion coefficient:  $\sqrt{n}$

# Optimal rate

---

Gobet (2002):

A discretely sampled diffusion is LAN in the high frequency asymptotics considered here, and the optimal rate of convergence is

For parameters in the drift coefficient:  $\sqrt{n\Delta_n}$

For parameters in the diffusion coefficient:  $\sqrt{n}$

Jacobsen's condition:

$$\partial_y g_2(0, x, x; \theta) = 0$$

for all  $x \in (\ell, r)$  and  $\theta \in \Theta$

# Optimal rate

---

Gobet (2002):

A discretely sampled diffusion is LAN in the high frequency asymptotics considered here, and the optimal rate of convergence is

For parameters in the drift coefficient:  $\sqrt{n\Delta_n}$

For parameters in the diffusion coefficient:  $\sqrt{n}$

Jacobsen's condition:

$$\partial_y g_2(0, x, x; \theta) = 0$$

for all  $x \in (\ell, r)$  and  $\theta \in \Theta$

Jacobsen (2001): small  $\Delta$ -optimality

# Theorem 2

---

Suppose

- Conditions 1 and 2

# Theorem 2

---

Suppose

- Conditions 1 and 2
- The identifiability condition that

$$\int_{\ell}^r [b(x, \alpha_0) - b(x, \alpha)] \partial_y g_1(0, x, x; \theta) \mu_{\theta_0}(x) dx \neq 0 \quad \text{when } \alpha \neq \alpha_0$$

$$\int_{\ell}^r [\sigma^2(x, \beta_0) - \sigma^2(x, \beta)] \partial_y^2 g_2(0, x, x; \theta) \mu_{\theta_0}(x) dx \neq 0 \quad \text{when } \beta \neq \beta_0$$

# Theorem 2

---

Suppose

- Conditions 1 and 2
- The identifiability condition that

$$\int_{\ell}^r [b(x, \alpha_0) - b(x, \alpha)] \partial_y g_1(0, x, x; \theta) \mu_{\theta_0}(x) dx \neq 0 \quad \text{when } \alpha \neq \alpha_0$$

$$\int_{\ell}^r [\sigma^2(x, \beta_0) - \sigma^2(x, \beta)] \partial_y^2 g_2(0, x, x; \theta) \mu_{\theta_0}(x) dx \neq 0 \quad \text{when } \beta \neq \beta_0$$

- $S_{11} \neq 0$  and  $S_{22} \neq 0$

# Theorem 2

---

Suppose

- Conditions 1 and 2
- The identifiability condition that

$$\int_{\ell}^r [b(x, \alpha_0) - b(x, \alpha)] \partial_y g_1(0, x, x; \theta) \mu_{\theta_0}(x) dx \neq 0 \quad \text{when } \alpha \neq \alpha_0$$

$$\int_{\ell}^r [\sigma^2(x, \beta_0) - \sigma^2(x, \beta)] \partial_y^2 g_2(0, x, x; \theta) \mu_{\theta_0}(x) dx \neq 0 \quad \text{when } \beta \neq \beta_0$$

- $S_{11} \neq 0$  and  $S_{22} \neq 0$
- $\partial_y g_2(0, x, x; \theta) = 0$

# HF-asymptotics: Theorem 2

---

Then a consistent estimator  $\hat{\theta}_n = (\hat{\alpha}_n, \hat{\beta}_n)$  that solves the estimating equation  $G_n(\theta) = 0$  exists and is unique in any compact subset of  $\Theta$  containing  $\theta_0$  with a probability that goes to one as  $n \rightarrow \infty$



# HF-asymptotics: Theorem 2

---

Then a consistent estimator  $\hat{\theta}_n = (\hat{\alpha}_n, \hat{\beta}_n)$  that solves the estimating equation  $G_n(\theta) = 0$  exists and is unique in any compact subset of  $\Theta$  containing  $\theta_0$  with a probability that goes to one as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

If, moreover,

$$\partial_\alpha \partial_y^2 g_2(0, x, x; \theta) = 0,$$

then for a martingale estimating function or more generally if  $n\Delta^{2(\kappa-1)} \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{n\Delta_n}(\hat{\alpha}_n - \alpha_0) \\ \sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_n - \beta_0) \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N_2 \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} W_1/S_{11}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & W_2/S_{22}^2 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

where

$$W_1 = \int_{\ell}^r \sigma^2(x; \beta_0) [\partial_y g_1(0, x, x; \theta_0)]^2 \mu_{\theta_0}(x) dx$$

and

$$W_2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\ell}^r \sigma^4(x; \beta_0) [\partial_y^2 g_2(0, x, x; \theta_0)]^2 \mu_{\theta_0}(x) dx$$

# Efficiency - 1

---

Gobet (2002):

A discretely sampled diffusion is LAN in the high frequency asymptotics considered here, and the Fisher information is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \int_{\ell}^r \frac{(\partial_{\alpha} b(x; \alpha_0))^2}{\sigma^2(x; \beta_0)} \mu_{\theta_0}(x) dx & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\ell}^r \left[ \frac{\partial_{\beta} \sigma^2(x; \beta_0)}{\sigma^2(x; \beta_0)} \right]^2 \mu_{\theta_0}(x) dx \end{pmatrix}$$

# Efficiency - 1

---

Gobet (2002):

A discretely sampled diffusion is LAN in the high frequency asymptotics considered here, and the Fisher information is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \int_{\ell}^r \frac{(\partial_{\alpha} b(x; \alpha_0))^2}{\sigma^2(x; \beta_0)} \mu_{\theta_0}(x) dx & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\ell}^r \left[ \frac{\partial_{\beta} \sigma^2(x; \beta_0)}{\sigma^2(x; \beta_0)} \right]^2 \mu_{\theta_0}(x) dx \end{pmatrix}$$

Condition for efficiency:

$$\partial_y g_1(0, x, x; \theta) = \partial_{\alpha} b(x; \alpha) / \sigma^2(x; \beta)$$

$$\partial_y^2 g_2(0, x, x; \theta) = \partial_{\beta} \sigma^2(x; \beta) / \sigma^4(x; \beta)$$

for all  $x \in (\ell, r)$  and  $\theta \in \Theta$

# Efficiency - 1

---

Gobet (2002):

A discretely sampled diffusion is LAN in the high frequency asymptotics considered here, and the Fisher information is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \int_{\ell}^r \frac{(\partial_{\alpha} b(x; \alpha_0))^2}{\sigma^2(x; \beta_0)} \mu_{\theta_0}(x) dx & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\ell}^r \left[ \frac{\partial_{\beta} \sigma^2(x; \beta_0)}{\sigma^2(x; \beta_0)} \right]^2 \mu_{\theta_0}(x) dx \end{pmatrix}$$

Condition for efficiency:

$$\partial_y g_1(0, x, x; \theta) = \partial_{\alpha} b(x; \alpha) / \sigma^2(x; \beta)$$

$$\partial_y^2 g_2(0, x, x; \theta) = \partial_{\beta} \sigma^2(x; \beta) / \sigma^4(x; \beta)$$

for all  $x \in (\ell, r)$  and  $\theta \in \Theta$

Jacobsen (2001): small  $\Delta$ -optimality

# Quadratic martingale estimating functions

---

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left( \begin{array}{c} a_1(X_{t_{i-1}^n}, \Delta; \theta)(X_{t_i^n} - F(\Delta, X_{t_{i-1}^n}; \theta)) \\ a_2(X_{t_{i-1}^n}, \Delta; \theta) \left[ (X_{t_i^n} - F(\Delta, X_{t_{i-1}^n}; \theta))^2 - \phi(\Delta, X_{t_{i-1}^n}; \theta) \right] \end{array} \right)$$

$$F(\Delta, x; \theta) = E_{\theta}(X_{\Delta} | X_0 = x) = x + O(\Delta)$$

$$\phi(\Delta, x; \theta) = \text{Var}_{\theta}(X_{\Delta} | X_0 = x) = O(\Delta)$$

# Quadratic martingale estimating functions

---

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \begin{pmatrix} a_1(X_{t_{i-1}^n}, \Delta; \theta)(X_{t_i^n} - F(\Delta, X_{t_{i-1}^n}; \theta)) \\ a_2(X_{t_{i-1}^n}, \Delta; \theta) \left[ (X_{t_i^n} - F(\Delta, X_{t_{i-1}^n}; \theta))^2 - \phi(\Delta, X_{t_{i-1}^n}; \theta) \right] \end{pmatrix}$$

$$F(\Delta, x; \theta) = E_{\theta}(X_{\Delta} | X_0 = x) = x + O(\Delta)$$

$$\phi(\Delta, x; \theta) = \text{Var}_{\theta}(X_{\Delta} | X_0 = x) = O(\Delta)$$

$$g(0, y, x; \theta) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1(x, 0; \theta)(y - x) \\ a_2(x, 0; \theta)(y - x)^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

# Quadratic martingale estimating functions

---

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \begin{pmatrix} a_1(X_{t_{i-1}^n}, \Delta; \theta)(X_{t_i^n} - F(\Delta, X_{t_{i-1}^n}; \theta)) \\ a_2(X_{t_{i-1}^n}, \Delta; \theta) \left[ (X_{t_i^n} - F(\Delta, X_{t_{i-1}^n}; \theta))^2 - \phi(\Delta, X_{t_{i-1}^n}; \theta) \right] \end{pmatrix}$$

$$F(\Delta, x; \theta) = E_{\theta}(X_{\Delta} | X_0 = x) = x + O(\Delta)$$

$$\phi(\Delta, x; \theta) = \text{Var}_{\theta}(X_{\Delta} | X_0 = x) = O(\Delta)$$

$$g(0, y, x; \theta) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1(x, 0; \theta)(y - x) \\ a_2(x, 0; \theta)(y - x)^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\partial_y g_2(0, y, x; \theta) = 2a_2(x, \Delta; \theta)(y - x) \quad \text{Jacobsen's condition satisfied}$$

$$\partial_y g_1(0, x, x; \theta) = a_1(x, 0; \theta) = \partial_{\alpha} b(x; \alpha) / \sigma^2(x; \beta) \quad \text{Approximately}$$

$$\partial_y^2 g_2(0, x, x; \theta) = 2a_2(x, 0; \theta) = \partial_{\beta} \sigma^2(x; \beta) / \sigma^4(x; \beta) \quad \text{optimal}$$

# Martingale estimating functions

---

$$\begin{aligned}g(\Delta, y, x; \theta) &= \sum_{j=1}^N a_j(x, \Delta; \theta) [f_j(y; \theta) - \pi_{\theta}^{\Delta} f_j(x; \theta)] \\ &= A(x, \Delta_n; \theta) [f(y; \theta) - \pi_{\theta}^{\Delta_n} f(x; \theta)]\end{aligned}$$

$$G_n(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n A(X_{t_{i-1}^n}, \Delta_n; \theta) [f(X_{t_i^n}; \theta) - \pi_{\theta}^{\Delta_n} f(X_{t_{i-1}^n}; \theta)]$$

$$f(y; \theta) = (f_1(y; \theta), \dots, f_N(y; \theta))^T$$

$A(x, \Delta; \theta)$  a  $2 \times N$ -matrix of weights

$\pi_{\theta}^{\Delta} f(x; \theta) = E_{\theta}(f(X_{\Delta}; \theta) | X_0 = x)$  is the transition operator



# Efficiency - 2

---

Suppose Condition 1 is satisfied and that the functions  $f_j$  are twice continuously differentiable.

A sufficient condition that it is possible to find a specification of the weight matrix  $A(x, \Delta; \theta)$  such that the estimating function  $G_n(\theta)$  gives estimators that are **rate optimal and efficient** is that

# Efficiency - 2

---

Suppose Condition 1 is satisfied and that the functions  $f_j$  are twice continuously differentiable.

A sufficient condition that it is possible to find a specification of the weight matrix  $A(x, \Delta; \theta)$  such that the estimating function  $G_n(\theta)$  gives estimators that are **rate optimal and efficient** is that

- $N \geq 2$
- and that the matrix

$$D(x) = \begin{pmatrix} f_1'(x) & f_1''(x) \\ f_2'(x) & f_2''(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

is invertible for  $\mu_\theta$ -almost all  $x$ .

# Efficiency - 2

---

Suppose Condition 1 is satisfied and that the functions  $f_j$  are twice continuously differentiable.

A sufficient condition that it is possible to find a specification of the weight matrix  $A(x, \Delta; \theta)$  such that the estimating function  $G_n(\theta)$  gives estimators that are **rate optimal and efficient** is that

- $N \geq 2$
- and that the matrix

$$D(x) = \begin{pmatrix} f_1'(x) & f_1''(x) \\ f_2'(x) & f_2''(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

is invertible for  $\mu_\theta$ -almost all  $x$ .

Jacobsen (2002)

For a  $d$ -dimensional diffusion:  $N \geq d(d + 3)/2$

# Efficiency - 3

---

Suppose Condition 1 is satisfied, that the functions  $f_j$  are six times continuously differentiable, that  $N \geq 2$  and that  $D(x)$  is invertible for  $\mu_\theta$ -almost all  $x$ .

If  $A^*(x, \Delta; \theta)$  is the Godambe-Heyde optimal weight-matrix, then

$$g^*(\Delta, y, x; \theta) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\Delta \end{pmatrix} A^*(x, \Delta; \theta) [f(y) - \pi_\theta^\Delta f(x)]$$

satisfies that for all  $x \in (\ell, r)$  and  $\theta \in \Theta$

$$\partial_y g_2^*(0, x, x; \theta) = 0$$

and

$$\partial_y g_1^*(0, x, x; \theta) = \partial_\alpha b(x; \alpha) / \sigma^2(x; \beta) \quad \partial_y^2 g_2^*(0, x, x; \theta) = \partial_\beta \sigma^2(x; \beta) / \sigma^4 \beta$$