

Asymptotic properties of the MLE for the autoregressive process coefficients under stationary Gaussian noise



CAI CHUNHAO
CHUNHAO.CAI.Etu@univ-lemans.fr

Directeur de thèse
Marina Kleptsyna and Alexandre Brouste

Laboratoire Manceau de Mathématiques, Université du Maine

Statement of the problem

- We consider the AR(p) process $(\mathbf{X}_n, n \geq 1)$ defined as
- ▶ $\mathbf{X}_n = \sum_{i=1}^p \vartheta_i \mathbf{X}_{n-i} + \xi_n, n \geq 1, \mathbf{X}_r = \mathbf{0}, r = 0, -1, \dots, -(p-1)$
 - ▶ $\mathbf{E} \xi_m \xi_n = \mathbf{c}(m, n) = \rho(|n-m|), \rho(0) = 1.$
 - ▶ $\mathbf{c}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is positive definite function.

Our goal is to study the large asymptotical properties of the Maximum Likelihood Estimator (MLE) $\hat{\vartheta}_N$ of $\vartheta = (\vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_p)'$ based on the observation model $\mathbf{X}^{(N)} = (\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_N)$

$$\hat{\vartheta}_N = \sup_{\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}^p} \mathcal{L}(\vartheta, \mathbf{X}^{(N)}).$$

Assumption

- ▶ Let $f_\xi(\lambda)$ be the spectral density of ξ , we suppose that

$$\left| \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \ln f_\xi(\lambda) d\lambda \right| < \infty \quad (1)$$

or the sufficient condition

$$|\rho(n)| \leq Cn^{-\alpha}$$

Examples: The condition (1) is valid for any ARMA(p,q) process, fractional Gaussian noises(fGn) and mixed fGn.

result

- ▶ Let $p \geq 1$ and \mathbf{A}_0 be the companion matrix, $\mathbf{r}(\vartheta)$ be the spectral radius of \mathbf{A}_0 and the parameter set be:

$$\Theta = \{\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}^p \mid \mathbf{r}(\vartheta) < 1\}.$$

The MLE $\hat{\vartheta}_N$ is asymptotically normal, i.e., for any $\vartheta \in \Theta$

$$\sqrt{N} (\hat{\vartheta}_N - \vartheta) \xrightarrow{law} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathcal{I}^{-1}(\vartheta)),$$

where $\mathcal{I}(\vartheta)$ is the unique solution of Lyapunov equation:

$$\mathcal{I}(\vartheta) = \mathbf{A}_0 \mathcal{I}(\vartheta) \mathbf{A}_0^* + \mathbf{b} \mathbf{b}^*, \mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{1} \ \mathbf{0}_{1 \times (p-1)})^*$$

- ▶ Let $p = 1$, then $\hat{\vartheta}_N$ is uniformly consistent on compacts $\mathbb{K} \subset (-1, 1)$, i.e. for any $\nu > 0$,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\vartheta \in \mathbb{K}} \mathbf{P}_\vartheta^N \left\{ \left| \hat{\vartheta}_N - \vartheta \right| > \nu \right\} = 0,$$

and for any $q > 0$:

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\vartheta \in \mathbb{K}} \left| \mathbf{E}_\vartheta \left| \sqrt{N} (\hat{\vartheta}_N - \vartheta) \right|^q - \mathbf{E} |\eta|^q \right| = 0,$$

where $\eta \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1} - \vartheta^2)$.

- ▶ let $p = 1$, the MLE $\hat{\vartheta}_N$ is strongly consistent i.e. for any $\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\vartheta}_N = \vartheta.$$

Sketch of proof: Stationary Gaussian sequence (1)

For the Gaussian sequence $(\xi_n)_{n \geq 1}$, we will denote the innovation sequences of ξ defined as

$$\sigma_n \varepsilon_n = \xi_n - \mathbf{E}(\xi_n \mid \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}), \quad n \geq 1,$$

where $\varepsilon_n \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1})$ are independent. So there exist the deterministic kernel denoted $\mathbf{k}(n, m)$ such that

$$\sigma_n \varepsilon_n = \sum_{m=1}^n \mathbf{k}(n, m) \xi_m, \quad \mathbf{k}(n, n) = \mathbf{1}.$$

Sketch of proof: Stationary Gaussian sequence (2)

Let us denote the partial correlation coefficient $-\mathbf{k}(n, 1) = \beta_{n-1}, n \geq 1$, we have some relationships when $n \geq 1$

$$\sigma_n^2 = \prod_{m=1}^{n-1} (1 - \beta_m^2),$$

$$\sum_{m=1}^n \mathbf{k}(n, m) \rho(m) = \beta_n \sigma_n^2,$$

$$\mathbf{k}(n+1, n+1-m) = \mathbf{k}(n, n-m) - \beta_n \mathbf{k}(n, m).$$

Transformation of the observation

Let $\mathbf{Y}_n = (\mathbf{X}_n, \mathbf{X}_{n-1}, \dots, \mathbf{X}_{n-p+1})^*$, then \mathbf{Y}_n satisfies the first order autoregressive equation:

$$\mathbf{Y}_n = \mathbf{A}_0 \mathbf{Y}_{n-1} + \mathbf{b} \xi_n, \quad n \geq 1, \quad \mathbf{Y}_0 = \mathbf{0}_{p \times 1}.$$

Let us introduce $(\mathbf{Z}_n)_{n \geq 1}$ such that

$$\mathbf{Z}_n = \sum_{m=1}^n \mathbf{k}(n, m) \mathbf{Y}_m, \quad n \geq 1,$$

then the process $\zeta = (\zeta_n, n \geq 1)$ defined by $\zeta_n = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Z}_n \\ \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \beta_r \mathbf{Z}_r \end{pmatrix}$ is a $2p$ -dimensional Markovian process which satisfies the following equation:

$$\zeta_n = \mathbf{A}_{n-1} \zeta_{n-1} + \ell \sigma_n \varepsilon_n, \quad n \geq 1, \quad \zeta_0 = \mathbf{0}_{2p \times 1},$$

where

$$\mathbf{A}_n = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}_0 & \vartheta \beta_n \\ \beta_n \mathbf{Id}_{p \times p} & \mathbf{Id}_{p \times p} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \ell = \mathbf{b}$$

Likelihood function and MLE

The log-likelihood function is

$$\ln \mathcal{L}(\vartheta, \mathbf{X}^{(N)}) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\frac{\ell^* (\zeta_n - \mathbf{A}_{n-1} \zeta_{n-1})}{\sigma_n} \right)^2 - \frac{N}{2} \ln 2\pi - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \ln \sigma_n^2$$

and the maximum likelihood estimator $\hat{\vartheta}_N$ is

$$\hat{\vartheta}_N = \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\mathbf{a}_{n-1}^* \zeta_{n-1} \zeta_{n-1}^* \mathbf{a}_{n-1}}{\sigma_n^2} \right)^{-1} \cdot \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\mathbf{a}_{n-1}^* \zeta_{n-1} \ell^* \zeta_n}{\sigma_n^2} \right),$$

where $\mathbf{a}_n = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Id}_{p \times p} \\ \beta_n \mathbf{Id}_{p \times p} \end{pmatrix}$.

Laplace Transform Computation

Actually, $\hat{\vartheta}_N - \vartheta = (\langle \mathbf{M} \rangle_N)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{M}_N$, where

$$\mathbf{M}_N = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\mathbf{a}_{n-1}^* \zeta_{n-1} \varepsilon_n}{\sigma_n}, \quad \langle \mathbf{M} \rangle_N = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\mathbf{a}_{n-1}^* \zeta_{n-1} \zeta_{n-1}^* \mathbf{a}_{n-1}}{\sigma_n^2}.$$

Note that $(\mathbf{M}_N, n \geq 1)$ is a martingale and $(\langle \mathbf{M} \rangle_N, N \geq 1)$ is its bracket process. Let us define the Laplace transform:

$$\mathbf{L}_N^\vartheta(\mu) = \mathbf{E}_\vartheta \exp \left(-\frac{\mu}{2} \alpha^* \langle \mathbf{M} \rangle_N \alpha \right),$$

for arbitrary $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and a positive real number μ . The proof is based on the following property:

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{L}_N^\vartheta \left(\frac{1}{N} \right) = \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \alpha^* \mathcal{I}(\vartheta) \alpha \right),$$

where $\mathcal{I}(\vartheta)$ is the unique solution of Lyapunov equation.