

Abstract

We consider the approximation of the forward-backward stochastic differential equations. The observation is supposed to be a diffusion process satisfying some stochastic differential equation, where the trend coefficient depends on some unknown parameter. We try to construct a couple of processes such that the final value of one is a function of the final value of the given diffusion process. We show that when the diffusion coefficient is small, the couple of processes approximates well the solution of a backward stochastic differential equation. Moreover, we show that this approximation is asymptotically efficient.

Problem statement

Suppose that we observe a diffusion process $\mathbf{X}^T = \{\mathbf{X}_t, 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ satisfying

$$d\mathbf{X}_t = \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{X}_t, \vartheta) dt + \varepsilon \sigma(\mathbf{X}_t) d\mathbf{W}_t, \quad \mathbf{X}_0 = \mathbf{x}_0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T$$

and we are given functions $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{y}$ and $\Phi(\mathbf{x})$. We have to construct a couple of processes $(\mathbf{Y}_t, \mathbf{Z}_t)$ such that the solution of the equation

$$d\mathbf{Y}_t = (\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{X}_t) + \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{X}_t)\mathbf{Y}_t) dt + \mathbf{Z}_t d\mathbf{W}_t, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \quad (1)$$

has the final value $\mathbf{Y}_T = \Phi(\mathbf{X}_T)$.

Preliminaries

– FBSDE and PDE.

Suppose that $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}, \vartheta)$ is the solution of the following equation

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{U}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial \mathbf{U}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^2 \sigma(\mathbf{x})^2 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{U}}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2} = \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{y}, \quad (2)$$

with final value $\mathbf{U}(T, \mathbf{x}) = \Phi(\mathbf{x})$. The processes

$$\mathbf{Y}_t = \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{X}_t, \vartheta), \quad \mathbf{Z}_t = \varepsilon \sigma(\mathbf{X}_t) \mathbf{U}'(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{X}_t, \vartheta)$$

give us the solution of the BSDE (1).

– Deterministic case.

We denote $\mathbf{x}^t = (\mathbf{x}_s)_{0 \leq s \leq t}$ the solution for the deterministic equation

$$d\mathbf{x}_s = \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{x}_s, \vartheta) ds, \quad \mathbf{x}_0, \quad 0 \leq s \leq t$$

and $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}, \vartheta)$ the solution of the PDE (2) for $\varepsilon = 0$.

For any function $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}, \vartheta)$, we define $\mathbf{h}'(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}, \vartheta)$ and $\dot{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}, \vartheta)$ the derivatives w.r.t. \mathbf{x} and w.r.t. ϑ respectively.

Conditions \mathcal{B}

\mathcal{B}_1 . The functions $\sigma(\mathbf{x})$ and $\mathbf{S}(\vartheta, \mathbf{x})$ are differentiable w.r.t. \mathbf{x} , the function $\mathbf{S}(\vartheta, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{C}_\vartheta^{(5)}$, and all these derivatives are continuous and bounded. In addition, there exists $\kappa > 0$ such that $\sigma(\mathbf{x})^2 > \kappa$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}$.

\mathcal{B}_2 . The function $\Phi(\mathbf{x})$ is bounded and continuous. The function $\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{x})$ is bounded and has continuous bounded derivative $\mathbf{k}'(\mathbf{x})$.

\mathcal{B}_3 . For some $\delta > 0$, the Fisher information is positive:

$$I(\mathbf{x}^\delta, \vartheta) = \int_0^\delta \frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}(\vartheta, \mathbf{x}_s)^2}{\sigma(\mathbf{x}_s)^2} ds > 0,$$

and for any $\nu > 0$,

$$\inf_{|\theta - \vartheta| > \nu} \left\| \frac{\mathbf{S}(\theta, \mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{S}(\vartheta, \mathbf{x})}{\sigma(\mathbf{x})} \right\|_\delta > 0.$$

Here $\|\cdot\|_\delta$ is the norm in the space of square integrable functions: $\mathbf{L}_2(\mathbf{0}, \delta)$.

MLE-Process

Let us introduce the maximum likelihood estimator process (MLE-process) $\hat{\vartheta}_{t,\varepsilon}$. The likelihood ratio at time \mathbf{t} is

$$\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{X}^t, \vartheta) = \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_0^t \frac{\mathbf{S}(\vartheta, \mathbf{X}_s)}{\sigma(\mathbf{X}_s)^2} d\mathbf{X}_s - \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2} \int_0^t \frac{\mathbf{S}(\vartheta, \mathbf{X}_s)^2}{\sigma(\mathbf{X}_s)^2} ds \right\}.$$

Then the MLE-process $\hat{\vartheta}_{t,\varepsilon}$ is defined as

$$\hat{\vartheta}_{t,\varepsilon} = \arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{X}^t, \theta), \quad \mathbf{t} \in [\delta, T].$$

lemma

Let the condition \mathcal{B} be fulfilled, then the MLE-process $\hat{\vartheta}_{t,\varepsilon}$ admits the following representation: for any $\nu > 0$

$$\sup_{\delta \leq t \leq T} \mathbf{P}_\vartheta \left\{ \left| \frac{\hat{\vartheta}_{t,\varepsilon} - \vartheta}{\varepsilon^2} - \frac{\xi_{t,1}(\mathbf{x}^t, \vartheta)}{\varepsilon} - \xi_{t,2}(\mathbf{x}^t, \vartheta) \right| > \nu \right\} \rightarrow 0.$$

Here

$$\xi_{t,1}(\mathbf{x}^t, \vartheta) = I(\mathbf{x}^t, \vartheta)^{-1} \int_0^t \frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{x}_s, \vartheta)}{\sigma(\mathbf{x}_s)} d\mathbf{W}_s$$

and $\xi_{t,2}(\mathbf{x}^t, \vartheta)$ is also a random variable depending on \mathbf{x}^t and on ϑ .

Approximation

We approximate the processes (\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z}) in using the solution of the PDE $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}, \vartheta)$, for ϑ replaced by the MLE-process $\hat{\vartheta}_{t,\varepsilon}$. Let us define

$$\hat{\mathbf{Y}}_t = \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{X}_t, \hat{\vartheta}_{t,\varepsilon}), \quad \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_t = \varepsilon \sigma(\mathbf{X}_t) \mathbf{U}'(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{X}_t, \hat{\vartheta}_{t,\varepsilon}), \quad \mathbf{t} \in [\delta, T]. \quad (3)$$

Theorem

Under the regularity condition \mathcal{B} , the couple $(\hat{\mathbf{Y}}_t, \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_t)_{t \in [\delta, T]}$ admits the representation:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{Y}}_t &= \mathbf{Y}_t + \varepsilon \xi_{t,1}(\mathbf{x}^t, \vartheta) \dot{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{X}_t, \vartheta) + \varepsilon^2 \xi_{t,2}(\mathbf{x}^t, \vartheta)^2 \ddot{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{X}_t, \vartheta) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^2 \xi_{t,1}(\mathbf{x}^t, \vartheta) \ddot{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{X}_t, \vartheta) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3) \\ \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_t &= \mathbf{Z}_t + \varepsilon^2 \xi_{t,1}(\mathbf{x}^t, \vartheta) \sigma(\mathbf{X}_t) \dot{\mathbf{U}}'(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{X}_t, \vartheta) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3). \end{aligned}$$

Here $\hat{\mathbf{Y}} = \mathbf{Y} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ (the same for $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}$) means that for any $\nu > 0$,

$$\lim_{\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}(\varepsilon^{-1} |\hat{\mathbf{Y}} - \mathbf{Y}| > \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Efficiency

Let us introduce the condition \mathcal{C} :

\mathcal{C}_1 . Suppose that $\dot{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}, \vartheta)$ and $\dot{\mathbf{u}}'(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}, \vartheta_0)$ exist and that they are continuous.

\mathcal{C}_2 . Suppose that $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}, \vartheta)$, $\dot{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}, \vartheta)$, $\mathbf{U}'(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}, \vartheta)$, $\dot{\mathbf{U}}'(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}, \vartheta)$ are all of polynomial majorants w.r.t. \mathbf{x} .

Theorem

For any estimator $(\bar{\mathbf{Y}}_t, \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_t)$ of $(\mathbf{Y}_t, \mathbf{Z}_t)$ and any \mathbf{t} satisfying $\delta \leq \mathbf{t} \leq T$,

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{|\vartheta - \vartheta_0| < \nu} \varepsilon^{-2} \mathbf{E}_\vartheta (\bar{\mathbf{Y}}_t - \mathbf{Y}_t)^2 \geq \frac{\dot{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}_t, \vartheta_0)^2}{I(\mathbf{x}^t, \vartheta_0)},$$

and

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{|\vartheta - \vartheta_0| < \nu} \varepsilon^{-4} \mathbf{E}_\vartheta (\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_t - \mathbf{Z}_t)^2 \geq \sigma(\mathbf{x}_t)^2 \frac{\dot{\mathbf{u}}'(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}_t, \vartheta_0)^2}{I(\mathbf{x}^t, \vartheta_0)}.$$

We say that an approximation $\bar{\mathbf{Y}}$ or $\bar{\mathbf{Z}}$ is asymptotically efficient, if for all $\vartheta_0 \in (\alpha, \beta)$ and $\mathbf{t} \in [\delta, T]$ we have the equalities.

Theorem

Let the conditions \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} be fulfilled, then $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}$ defined in (3) are asymptotically efficient estimators of \mathbf{Y}_t and \mathbf{Z}_t .

Example

We consider the linear FBSDE

$$\begin{cases} d\mathbf{X}_t = \vartheta dt + \varepsilon \sigma d\mathbf{W}_t, & \mathbf{X}_0 = \mathbf{x}_0, \\ d\mathbf{Y}_t = -(\beta \mathbf{Y}_t + \gamma \mathbf{Z}_t) dt + \mathbf{Z}_t d\mathbf{W}_t, & \mathbf{Y}_T = \Phi(\mathbf{X}_T). \end{cases}$$

The corresponding PDE is

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{U}}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^2 \sigma^2 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{U}}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2} + (\vartheta + \varepsilon \sigma \gamma) \frac{\partial \mathbf{U}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \beta \mathbf{U} = 0,$$

with final value $\mathbf{U}(T, \mathbf{x}) = \Phi(\mathbf{x})$. This PDE can be solved explicitly, noting as $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}, \vartheta)$. The MLE-process for ϑ is $\hat{\vartheta}_{t,\varepsilon} = \frac{\mathbf{X}_t - \mathbf{x}_0}{t}$. We have

$$\frac{\hat{\vartheta}_{t,\varepsilon} - \vartheta}{\varepsilon} = \frac{\sigma}{t} \mathbf{W}_t, \quad \text{for } \delta < \mathbf{t} \leq T.$$

We construct the process $(\hat{\mathbf{Y}}_t, \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_t)_t$ as (3). The graphic on the right presents the numerical result for \mathbf{Y} , with fixed value for parameters and for $\varepsilon = 0.1$.

